

CM-578-2024

Hans Raj v. The Bathinda Central Cooperative Bank

Present: Sh. K.S. Sidhu, Adv. counsel for petitioners.
Sh. V.S. Brar, Adv. counsel for respondent no. 1.
None for respondents no. 2.
Service of respondent No. 3 dispensed with.

Sh. V.S. Brar, Advocate filed memo of appearance on behalf of respondent no. 1, whereas, notice sent to respondents no. 2 received back served, but none is appearing on his behalf and he is now proceeded against exparte.

Case is adjourned to 17.12.2024 for arguments on condonation of delay in filing the petition under Section 34 of Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996 and the question arises regarding maintainability of such petitioner in terms of Section 34(4) of the Act.

Rajiv Kalra (PB0098)
Additional District Judge,
Bathinda

Date: 13.12.2024
Vikas (Stenographer-III)