

CR Cases 1174/2019 STATE Vs. HIMANSHU 552 /2018

23.08.2023

Ld. PO is looking after the work of Duty MM.

Present: Sh. Satish Shukla, Ld. APP for the State.
Accused absent despite service through DCP concerned.
Ms. Trilochna, Ld. Counsel for accused.

Ld. Counsel for accused submits that she has no information regarding the whereabouts of the accused.

Let **B/W in the sum of Rs. 5,000/- be issued against accused with one surety in the like amount**, for NDOH.

Summons issued to V-B Incharge have been received back with request of another date.

The purpose of calling V-B Incharge was to explain the fact of not receiving the process back.

In view of the circumstance, let **notice be issued to the SHO concerned** to sensitize his staff and to ensure that process of the court are received back on time.

Put up for supplying of copies as well as for further proceedings on 22.02.2024.

(Himanshi Tyagi)
MM-03/East/KKD:Delhi
23.08.2023