

2 MACT 586/19

FARHAN KHAN @ FARHAN HUSAIN Vs. MINTU KUMAR

20/07/2024

Present: Sh. Pankaj Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for petitioner.
Sh. Rajat Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for United India Insurance Company/R3.
Ms. Deepa Chaako, Ld. Counsel for Royal Sundaram/R5.
None for other respondents.

Affidavit has been filed by Ld. Counsel for petitioner. Perused. No process report is received to suggest that R2 is residing at the given address and refused to receive process. No affidavit has been filed by the petitioner to the effect that the address provided in the amended memo of parties is the last known address of R2 or that he was residing at the given address at the time of the filing of the claim petition. Rather claim petition shows that the same was filed originally without details of the driver and subsequently amended memo of parties shall be filed. On Tribunal query, Ld. Counsel submits that the details of R2 have been taken from Superdaari Order. He seeks time to file on record the copy of Superdaari order. Let fresh process be issued to R2 through IO/ SHO on filing of PF & RC returnable on **06.12.2024**.

(Mayuri Singh)
P.O. MACT (East)/Delhi
20/07/2024