

Court No. - 74

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 25669 of 2023

Petitioner :- Kavita Vishwakarma And Another

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ved Prakash Ojha

Counsel for Respondent :- CSC

Hon'ble Saurabh Srivastava,J.

1. Heard Sri Ved Prakash Ojha, learned counsel for petitioners and Sri Arvind Kumar Singh, learned Standing Counsel for the State-respondents.

2. By way of the present writ petition, a direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents not to interfere in peaceful marital life of petitioners, has been sought.

3. Marriage has already been solemnized as per the contents available in the instant petition on 19.06.2023 itself and the cause of action for filing the instant petition with specific averment that respondent no. 4 often visit to the resident of petitioner no. 1 with some anti-social elements and often with the policeman of the concerned police station which clouds the reputation of petitioner no. 2 in society.

4. The allegation whatsoever has been put-forward by the petitioners for seeking relief in shape of directing the responding authority not to harass the petitioners as they are living as husband and wife in pursuance to marriage solemnized on 19.06.2023.

5. As per the records available in the instant petition, marriage has already been solemnized on 19.06.2023 and no subsequent affidavit or application has ever been preferred at the behest of the petitioners for the last more than two years that any mishappening has been occurred or any harassment has been carried out by responding authority, especially in shape of police authorities and as such cause of action for filing the instant petition rendered infructuous.

6. In view thereof, the present petition stands **dismissed as infructuous.**

Order Date :- 13.5.2025

#Vik/-