HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA AGARTALA

Cont.Cas(C) No.154/2022

Sri Rupak Saha	D ()
VERSUS	Petitioner(s).
Shri Saradindu Choudhury & others	
	Respondent(s).
Cont.Cas(C) No.155/2022	
Sri Swapan Das & others	<u>(</u>)
	Petitioner(s).
VERSUS	7
Shri Saradindu Choudhury & others	Respondent(s).
Cont.Cas(C) No.156/2022	
Smt. Minakshi Deb & others	
	Petitioner(s).
VERSUS	
Shri Saradindu Choudhury & others	Respondent(s).
	(3).
Cont.Cas(C) No.157/2022	
Sri Surath Kumar Debbarma & others	
	Petitioner(s).
VERSUS Shri Saradindu Choudhury & others	
Sin Suradina Choddia y & others	Respondent(s).
Care Care (C) No. 159/2022	•
Cont.Cas(C) No.158/2022	
Sri Dipu Debbarma	D
VERSUS	Petitioner(s).
Shri Saradindu Choudhury & others	
Sin Surudindu Chodunary & Guiers	Respondent(s).
	-
Cont.Cas(C) No.159/2022	
Sri Dibyajyoti Bhaumik & others	
ALD GII G	Petitioner(s).
V E R S U S Shri Saradindu Choudhury & others	
Simi Surudindu Ciloudilary & Otilots	Respondent(s).
	/

Cont.Cas(C) No.160/2022 Sri Biplab Kuri & others

...... Petitioner(s).

VERSUS

Shri Saradindu Choudhury & others

......Respondent(s).

Cont.Cas(C) No.161/2022

Sri Uttam Kumar Das & others

..... Petitioner(s).

VERSUS

Shri Saradindu Choudhury & others

.....Respondent(s).

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. P. Roy Barman, Sr. Advocate,

Mr. Kawsik Nath, Advocate,

Mr. Koomar Chakraborty, Advocate.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Kohinoor N Bhattacharyya, Advocate,

Ms. Riya Chakraborty, Advocate, Ms. Kahina Reang, Advocate.

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. APARESH KUMAR SINGH

Order

03/05/2024

These contempt petitions have been preferred alleging non-compliance of the judgment and order dated 20.05.2022 passed by the learned Writ Court in WP(C) No.901 of 2021 and analogous cases by which the learned Court directed the respondents to give the benefit of past service to the petitioners.

Aggrieved thereby, the appellant-State of Tripura approached this Court in W.A. No.304 of 2021 and other analogous appeals. Those appeals have been heard and decided by the judgment and order dated 09.01.2024 whereby this Court directed the competent authority under the department to scrutinize the case of the individual writ petitioners in the light of the principles laid down thereinabove and take a decision within a period of 3(three) months

Page 3 of 3

from the date of receipt of copy of the judgment. It was further observed that consequential benefits, if any, arising out of such decision shall flow in favour of the individual writ petitioners who satisfy the conditions prescribed thereinabove.

Since the order of the learned Writ Court has been modified by the learned appellate Court as above, the present contempt petitions do not survive. As such, Mr. P. Roy Barman, learned senior counsel assisted by Mr. Kawsik Nath, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners also submits that since the learned appellate Court has issued certain directions in relation to granting of past service benefits to the petitioners, the present contempt petitions could not be maintained further.

Learned counsel for the State also submits to that effect.

In view of the aforesaid reasons, the instant proceedings are dropped and accordingly, the contempt petitions are disposed of.

(APARESH KUMAR SINGH), CJ

Pulak