

**HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA
AGARTALA**

WP(C) 967 of 2022

For the Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. S. Bhattacharjee, Advocate
For the Respondent(s)	:	Mr. D. Bhattacharya, G.A Mr. Raju Datta, Advocate

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARINDAM LODH

Order

16.11.2022

Heard Mr. S. Bhattacharjee, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. D. Bhattacharya, learned G.A appearing for State-respondents and Mr. Raju Datta, learned counsel appearing for respondent nos.1 and 2.

Let a notice be issued calling upon the respondents to show cause as to why a rule should not be issued as prayed for; and/or as to why such further order/s should not be passed as to this court may seem fit and proper.

Notice is made returnable on **10.01.2023**.

Since Mr. D. Bhattacharya, learned G.A appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent nos. 3 and 4, and Mr. Raju Datta, learned counsel appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 2, issuance of formal notice on those respondent is waived.

The petitioner shall take steps for causing service of notice upon the respondent nos.5 to 11, by registered post with A/D within 7(seven) days from today.

JUDGE

Rohit