## Page 1 of 2

## HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA AGARTALA

WP(C) No.298 of 2023

Shri Subrata Saha

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Tripura and 8 Ors.

....Respondent(s)

For the Petitioner(s)

Mr. S. Lodh, Advocate

For the Respondent(s)

Mr. M. Debbarma, Addl. GA

## HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARINDAM LODH

## <u>Order</u>

18/05/2023

Heard Mr. S. Lodh, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. M. Debbarma, learned Addl. GA appearing for the respondents-State.

It appears from the F.I.R. that the officer in-charge of the West Agartala Police Station has registered the F.I.R. on 16.05.2023 at 2235 hours in pursuance of the complaint which was lodged by the complainant on 14.04.2023.

The grievance of the petitioner is that the delay caused in registering the F.I.R. was due to the fault of the officer in-charge of the concerned police station. This delayed registration of F.I.R. may affect the allegations levelled against the accused persons because in the F.I.R. there is no explanation that it is due to the fault of the officer in-charge of the concerned police station, the complaint lodged by the complainant could not be registered in time.

In view of this, in my opinion this writ petition can be disposed of with the observation that the date of registration of F.I.R. will not affect Page 2 of 2

the merits of the complaint lodged by the complainant. The officer incharge of the concerned police station is directed to investigate the case lodged by the complainant in accordance with law. It is made clear that the delay in registering the complaint will not in any way affect the merits of the prosecution case.

With the aforesaid direction, the instant writ petition stands allowed and disposed of.

