

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 25924-25925/2023

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 02-11-2023 in WPL No. 27879/2023 and WPL No. 22378/2023 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay)

DEEPAK DINKAR HEDA00 & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE COMMISSIONER MCGM & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.242046/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.242049/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

WITH

Diary No(s). 48178/2023 (Item No.61)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.244076/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.244077/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No.244075/2023-PERMISSION TO FILE PETITION)

Date : 04-12-2023 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY S. OKA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MEHTA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Satish Pandey, AOR  
Mr. Manmohan Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Prashant Kanjare, Adv.  
Mr. Akbar Ali, Adv.  
Mr. Braj Kishore Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Anirudh Bankura, Adv.  
Ms. Jay Jaimini Pandey, Adv.  
Mrs. Lalita Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, Adv.  
Mr. Harendra Kumar Sharma, Adv.  
  
Mr. Vinay Navare, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Omkar Jayant Deshpande, Adv.  
Mr. Joseph Fernandes, Adv.  
Mr. Prathamesh Seth, Adv.  
Ms. Supreeta Sharanagouda, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Mahesh Agarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Ankur Saigal, Adv.  
Ms. Anwesha Padhi, Adv.  
Mr. Nivrit Srivastava, Adv.  
Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.  
Ms. Shivani Agrawal, Adv.  
Mr. E.C. Agrawala, AOR

Mr. Dhruv Mehta, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Garvesh Kabra, AOR  
Mr. Ahmer Shaikh, Adv.  
Ms. Pooja Kabra, Adv.

Mr. Samrat Krishnarao Shinde, Adv.  
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.  
Mr. Aaditya A. Pande, AOR  
Mr. Bharat Bagla, Adv.  
Mr. Sourav Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Aditya Krishna, Adv.  
Ms. Raavi Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Chirag M. Shroff, Adv.  
Ms. Mahima C. Shroff, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

SLP (C) No(s). 25924-25925/2023 (Item No.60)

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners states that the proforma respondents, who were petitioners in the writ petition before the High Court, want to transpose themselves as petitioners before this Court. We, therefore, permit the proforma respondents, who were petitioners before the High Court, to transpose themselves as the petitioners by filing an amended memo of title along with vakalatnama of the concerned proforma respondents. Needful shall be done during the course of the week.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners states that all the proforma respondents will be transposed as the petitioners. We, therefore, clarify that the interim relief granted earlier by this Court will continue to operate even in relation to the said

proforma respondents.

List on 11<sup>th</sup> December, 2023.

Diary No.48178/2023 (Item No.61)

Our attention is invited to the order dated 5<sup>th</sup> September, 2023 passed by the High Court (Annexure P-14).

The learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners states that after a representation was made by the petitioners to the Slum Rehabilitation Authority (SRA), the petitioners have been informed to make a representation to the Municipal Corporation. We, accordingly, direct the petitioners to make a representation within a maximum period of one week from today to the Municipal Corporation. Needless to add that the petitioners will file the necessary documents.

The learned senior counsel appearing for the Municipal Corporation states that the said representation will be decided within two weeks from the date on which the same is made.

List on 16<sup>th</sup> January, 2024.

In the meanwhile, the petitioners shall not be evicted.

(ASHISH KONDLE)  
COURT MASTER (SH)

(AVGV RAMU)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)