2 "Mo

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPEAL NO.11935 OF 2018

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

M/S. SOUTHERN PETROCHEMICAL INDUSTRIES CORPN. LTD.

... APPELLANT(S)

REPORTABLE

VS.

S. JOEL & ORS.

... RESPONDENT(S)

WITH C.A.Nos.12227 & 12224 of 2018 and C.A.Nos.834 & 1332 of 2019

ORDER

- 1. Appeals Admitted.
- 2. This batch of appeals arises from a decision of the National Green Tribunal¹ dated 28 November 2018.¹
- 3. On 15 June 2004, the Government of India in the Ministry of Environment and Forests² issued

1 "The Tribunal" 2 "MoEF"

guidelines regulating the diversion of forest land for non-forest under the **Forest** purposes (Conservation) Act 1980. These quidelines were clarified January The quidelines on 3 2005. delegate to the state governments the authority to permit diversion of forest land up to one hectare purpose of government departments utility purposes. The permissible public activities are :

- "1. Schools;
- Dispensary/hospital;
- Electric and Telecommunication lines;
- Drinking water;
- Water/rainwater harvesting structures;
- 6. Minor irrigation canal;
- 7. Non-conventional sources of energy;
- 8. Skill up-gradation/vocational training centre;
- Power sub-stations;
- 10. Communication posts; and
- 11. Police establishments like police stations/outposts/border outposts/ watch towers, in sensitive areas (identified by Ministry of Home Affairs)."

(emphasis supplied)

4. Based on the above guidelines of the Government of India, March 2008, the on 7 Government of Tamil Nadu accorded approval

diversion of 0.055 hectares of forest land in Sy.No.600 of Srivaigundam Village in Thoothukudi Division of the Tamil Nadu Water Supply Drainage Board (TWAD Board) for construction of an intake well (along with a control room and foot for <u>"drinking water purposes"</u>. permission was subject to certain conditions. The facility has been set up.

- 5. proceeding was instituted before the National Green Tribunal by the first Respondent, complaining that instead of confining the use of for drinking purposes, water TWAD Board has the industrial permitted of water for use purposes.
- Union Ministry of Environment, Forests The and Climate Change (MoEF&CC) submitted before the Tribunal involved that if the proposal diversion of forest land both for drinking water and industrial purposes, then it would not fall within the purview of the "General category under the Forest Conservation Act, 1980. MoEF&CC submitted that the user agency had acted

www.ecourtsindia.com

in violation of the Act by utilizing an additional area measuring 0.025 hectares of forest area for non-forestry purposes in addition to a change in the purpose for which the approval was accorded by the State Government.

- 7. TWAD Board submitted before the Tribunal that on 23 July 2018, it has moved the State Government to approach MoEF&CC for its clearance, so as to permit the use of the area in question for both drinking water and industrial purposes.
- 8. The proposal submitted by TWAD Board has not yet been received by the Union Government. It is pending with the Government of Tamil Nadu.
- 9. The Tribunal issued a direction to the TWAD Board to prohibit the use of water drawn under the forest clearance for 0.055 hectares for industrial purposes, since it was granted only for the purpose of drinking water. The Tribunal, however, clarified that it was not prohibiting the use of water for drinking purposes by housing colonies, schools, hospitals, etc. and the prohibition was only confined to the industrial units.

10. During the pendency of the proceedings before the Tribunal, an interim order was initially passed on 31 May 2017, in the following terms:

"In so far as the area concerned, the water shall be drawn only for supply of drinking water. Therefore, shall be an interim order directing the strictly respondents to G.O.Ms.18 accordance with **Environment** (FR.10) Department Forest dated 7.3.2008 supplying water only drinking purpose until further orders of this Tribunal."

11. This order was modified on 7 July 2017, to the following effect :

"Therefore, interim as an arrangement, we modify our order dated effect 31.5.2017 to the that situation which was in existence before our interim order dated 31.5.2017 shall be continued, however, subject to the condition that the 3rd respondent Board shall closely scrutinise whatever water is required for industrial purpose and subject to the condition also that sufficient quantity of water available for drinking purpose for the people."

The above arrangement held the field until the proceedings were disposed of by the impugned order.

12. Initially, when this Court was moved in a batch of civil appeals, on 11 January 2019, a grievance was urged on behalf of Tuticorin Thermal Power Plant to the effect that as a result of the order of the Tribunal, serious hardship was faced operational activities and that in its the situation was assuming a critical dimension in the absence of regular supply of water. Accordingly, while issuing notice this Court directed that the order of the Tribunal shall remain stayed insofar as the Tuticorin Thermal Power Plant is concerned, subject to the condition that drinking water needs This Court clarified that any are fully met. supply thereafter of surplus water to the power plant shall be in accordance with the terms of the interim order (of the Tribunal) dated 7 July 2017, extracted above. TWAD Board was directed to file an affidavit indicating

- i) the extent of water which is available for distribution;
- ii) the water which is required to fully meet the drinking water needs; and

- iii) the surplus, if any, that is available.
- 13. On 28 January 2019, finding that the TWAD Board had not indicated a bifurcation of the requirements of water for drinking and industrial use, this Court called for fresh affidavits on the anticipated requirements for the period between 1 February 2019 and 30 June 2019. An affidavit has been filed on 31 January 2019 on behalf of the Board.
- **14**. Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of Board has drawn our attention to relevant extracts from the affidavit, in support of the submission that even after meeting drinking water requirements fully, there is surplus water available which can be allocated for industrial The affidavit indicates that requirement of water for drinking, irrigation and other industrial in Tirunelveli purposes, and Thoothukudi Districts, is met from the water drawn from Tamirabarani River. For that purpose, water is released from three dams, namely, (i) Papanasam

- Dam; (ii) Servalaru Dam; and (iii) Manimuthar Dam.

 Paragraph 6 of the affidavit is extracted below:
 - "6. The Water Account details as annexed prepared to know the storage position of dams and anticipated Inflow of water from the data obtained form the office of the PWD and Electricity Board as detailed below:-
 - i) Expected inflow of water and the storage of water to be used is 10285.60 MCft (31.01.2019 to 30.06.2019)
 - ii) Losses due to evaporation and leakages is estimated as 1028.56 MCft
 - iii) Balance quantity of water is 9257.04 MCft
 - iv) Water requirement for drinking use from 31.01.2019 to 30.06.2019 (150 days x 167.73 Cusecs x 0.0864) is 2173.78 MCft [.0864 is conversion factor from cusecs to MCft]
 - v) Water required for industrial usage from 31.01.2019 to 30.06.2019 (150 days x 52.27 cusecs x 0.0864) is 742.22 MCft
 - vi) Anticipated average release of water from 31.01.2019 to 31.03.2019, 1000 cusecs per day for irrigation (60 days x 1000 cusecs x 0.0864) is 5184.80 MCft
 - vii) Expected inflow and available storage for use of dam on 30.06.2019 is (9257.04 (2173.78 + 742.22 + 5184.00)= 1157.04 MCft"
- 15. Accordingly, it has been submitted that the

water available in the dams is sufficient to meet the requirement of water for drinking, irrigation industrial purposes upto 31 March 2019 and for meeting the requirement of water for drinking needs and industrial purposes upto 30 June 2019 in both Tirunelveli and Thoothukudi Districts. affidavit states that in case there is any shortfall in the expected inflow, it will compensated with the seasonal rains in the lower part of the dams in Tamirabarani River basin and irrigation tanks, as per the report of the PWD authorities. Finally, it has been stated that if any shortfall arises in the expected inflow of water, the first priority will be given to drinking water requirements.

16. Placing reliance on the affidavit which has been filed by the TWAD Board, Shri Ranjit Kumar and Shri Huzefa Ahmadi, learned senior counsel submitted that it would be appropriate if the stay granted by the Tribunal is modified so as to permit the release of water for industrial purposes, subject to the drinking water needs

being fully met. Learned counsel submitted that a blanket stay of the nature which has been issued by the Tribunal will not serve any purpose.

17. On the other hand, Ms. Anitha Shenoy, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the first respondent, who is the original petitioner before Tribunal, submitted a chart containing the data of the Government of India in the Ministry of Earth Sciences (India Meteorological Department), Regional Meteorological Centre, Chennai. counsel submitted that for Thoothukudi District official statistics show that the rainfall as of 31 January, 2019 has been below normal (-100 or as the case may be -92). Moreover, on the basis of the data collated from the affidavit of the Board, it has been submitted that there is a precipitous decline in the current position of water in the reservoirs in Tirunelveli District and in consequence, it would not be appropriate to issue any direction, modifying the direction of the Tribunal.

18. We may note that it was urged on behalf of

appellants that the permission the which granted on 7 March 2008 by the State Government for the diversion of 0.055 hectares of land for the construction of an intake well for drinking water purposes, did not contain a prohibition for utilizing the water for industrial purposes. cannot accept the submission. Both before the Tribunal well as before this as Court, the consistent position of the State Government well as of MoEF&CC has been that Government of India delegated its authority under the Forest Conservation Act, 1980 to the states to grant a diversion of forest land upto one hectare and for specified projects of a public utility. them is drinking water. Hence, in the face of this position, the submission cannot be accepted. The position as it now exists is that TWAD Board has moved the State Government with proposal to seek the clearance of MoEF&CC for the purpose of authorizing the use of the surplus also for industrial purposes under the Forest Conservation Act, **1980**. **TWAD** Board

submitted before this Court that even after meeting the drinking water requirements fully, a surplus of water is available which it may be permitted to utilize for industrial purposes. 0n the other hand, as we have noted earlier, this is disputed on behalf of the petitioner before the Tribunal who has submitted that there has been a paucity of rain fall, of which, as a result Thoothukudi District has recorded scarcity conditions.

- In our view, it would be necessary for this 20. Court to put in place an administrative mechanism that would ensure that a decision to release water for industrial purposes is monitored by Collector of the District who shall conduct a due verification of the data which is available with the TWAD Board. The Collector should independently assess the situation so as to ensure that the need for drinking water irrigation is and not compromised.
- 21. We, accordingly, direct that within a period of one week from today the Collector responsible

for Thoothukudi division shall convene a meeting

of all the concerned departments, including the

Public Works Department, the Irrigation department

and the TWAD Board. The Collector shall ascertain

whether any surplus water is available after fully

meeting the requirement for drinking water. The

Collector shall conduct a fortnightly review of

the position thereafter to determine as to whether

any further direction or modification is required to meet the exigencies of the situation. Collector does find that the data which has been produced is adequate to sustain the conclusion in regard to the availability of surplus water after fully satisfying the need for drinking water, directions may be issued for allocating a suitable quantity of water for industrial purposes. We reiterate that this should be without manner compromising the present and anticipated drinking water needs of the residents of district concerned. Until the Collector takes a decision and for one week from today we restore the position as it obtained under the interim

order of the Tribunal dated 7 July 2017 to facilitate the supply of water for industrial including for the Tuticorin purposes, This is subject to the condition Power Plant. that drinking water requirements are fully met on priority. Thereafter, parties shall abide by the decision of the Collector. Until the Collector takes a decision, the interim order which we have in the case of Tuticorin Thermal Power Plant shall also continue in operation.

Insofar as the proposal under the Forest 22. (Conservation) Act 1980 is concerned, we apprised that TWAD Board had forwarded it to the State Government on 11 June 2018. We have been apprised that there were communications the State Government and the Board with a view to rectifying certain deficiencies in the proposal. Be that as it may, we direct that within a period of two weeks from today, a joint meeting be held of the representatives of the State Government and of the TWAD Board to resolve the issue. proposal shall thereupon be forwarded to MoEF&CC

within three weeks from today. The competent authority shall take a decision on the proposal in accordance with law within a period of two months thereafter. Any allocation of water for industrial purposes in the meantime shall abide by such final decision as may be arrived at by the Union of India after considering the proposal. We have not expressed any opinion on the merits of such a proposal.

We dispose of the appeals in the above terms. Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

				J.
[Dr.	DHANANJAYA	Υ.	CHANDRACHU	D]

[HEMANT GUPTA]

New Delhi; 4 February 2019. ITEM NO.64 COURT NO.9 SECTION XVII

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s).11935/2018

M/S. SOUTHERN PETROCHEMICAL INDUSTRIES CORPN. LTD. Appellant(s)

VERSUS

S. JOEL & ORS. Respondent(s) (With appln.(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment and stay)

WITH

C.A.No.834/2019 (XVII)

(With appln.(s) for permission to file appeal, exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment, permission to file addl. documents/facts/annexures and ex-parte stay)

C.A.No.12224/2018 (XVII) (With appln. for ex-parte stay)

C.A.No.12227/2018 (XVII)

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment and stay)

CA No.1332/2019 (XVII)

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment and ex-parte stay)

Date: 04-02-2019 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT GUPTA

For Appellant(s) Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.

Mr. K.K. Mani, AOR Ms. T. Archana, Adv.

Mr. Huzefa Ahmadi, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Adv. (AAG)

Mr. Vinodh Kanna B., AOR Ms. S. Valarmathi, Adv.

Ms. Pallavi Sengupta, Adv.

Mr. Ravindra Shrivastav, Sr. Adv.

Mr. C. Paramasivam, Adv.

Mr. M. Avokiyaraj, Adv.

Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. K.V. Vijayakumar, AOR

Mr. R. Naveenraj, Adv.

Ms. Purbitaa Mitra, Adv.

Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Adv.

Mr. Y. Arunagiri, Adv.

Mr. Ramesh, Adv.

for Mr. P. Soma Sundaram, AOR

Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, Adv.

for Mr. G.S. Makker, AOR

Mr. Mahesh Agarwal, Adv.

Ms. Aastha Mehta, Adv.

Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.

for Mr. E.C. Agrawala, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Appeals admitted.

The appeals are disposed of with no order as to costs in terms of the signed reportable order.

(Saroj Kumari Gaur) Branch Officer

(Sarita Purohit)
AR-cum-PS

(Signed reportable order is placed on the file)