

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 2081/2019

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 21-08-2018 in SA No. 54/2017 passed by the High Court Of Jharkhand At Ranchi)

M/S TRANSPORT CORPORATION OF INDIA LIMITED

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF JHARKHAND & ORS.
(FOR ADMISSION)

Respondent(s)

Date : 04-02-2019 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDIRA BANERJEE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. S.C. Nanda, Adv.
Mr. Vinodh Kanna B., AOR
Mr. P.K. Sreevastava, adv.
Mr. S.P. Das, Adv.
Ms. S. Valarmathi, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner.

We are not inclined to interfere with the concurrent finding of the three courts below. Consequently the special leave petition is dismissed.

Pending application, if any, also stand disposed of.

However, learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in settled possession hence the eviction should be in accordance with law.

To this limited extent of aforesaid, we direct that in case the respondent seeks to dispossess the petitioner, naturally the same should be in accordance with law.

(NEELAM GULATI)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(ANITA RANI AHUJA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 2081/2019

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 21-08-2018 in SA No. 54/2017 passed by the High Court Of Jharkhand At Ranchi)

M/S TRANSPORT CORPORATION OF INDIA LIMITED

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF JHARKHAND & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION)

Date : 04-02-2019 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDIRA BANERJEE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. S.C. Nanda, Adv.
Mr. Vinodh Kanna B., AOR
Mr. P.K. Sreevastava, adv.
Mr. S.P. Das, Adv.
Ms. S. Valarmathi, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner.

We are not inclined to interfere with the concurrent finding of the three courts below. Consequently the special leave petition is dismissed.

Pending application, if any, also stand disposed of.

However, learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in settled position hence the eviction should be in accordance with law.

To this limited extent of aforesaid, we direct that in case the respondent seeks to dispossess the petitioner, naturally the same should be in accordance with law.

(NEELAM GULATI)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(ANITA RANI AHUJA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)