

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 32490/2015
(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 16/10/2015
in WC No. 60363/2014 passed by the High Court Of Judicature at
Allahabad)

SYED ABRAR AHMAD

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with appln. For interim relief and permission to place addl.
Documents on record and permission to submit additional documents
and office report)

WITH

W.P.(C) No. 272/2016

(With (With appln.(s) for directions and appln.(s) for stay and
Office Report)

Date : 06/12/2016 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE L. NAGESWARA RAO

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Prashant Bhushan,Adv.

Mr. Govind Jee,Adv.

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde,Sr.Adv.

Mr. Govind Jee,Adv.

Mr. Ramesh K Mishra,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija,Sr.Adv.

Mr. Rana Mukherjee,Sr.Adv.

Mr. Ajay Sharma,Adv.

Ms. Disha Vaish,Adv.

Mr. Sourav Mishra,Adv.

Mr. Saurabh Kirpal,Adv.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker,Adv.

Mr. Raju Ramachandran,Sr.Adv.

Mr. B.B. Singh,Adv.

Mr. Ishwar Mohany,Adv.

Mr. Anup Kumar,Adv.

Mr. Salman Khurshid,Sr.Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Vaid,Adv.

Mr. Rajesh Kumar,Adv.

Ms. Azra Rehman,Adv.

Mr. Prem Prakash,Adv.

Mr. K.K. Rai,Sr.Adv.

Mr. Manoj Ranjan Sinha,Adv.

Mr. S.K. Pandey,Adv.

Mr. Anshul Rai,Adv.

Mr. K.K.Rai,Sr.Adv.

Mr. Manoj Ranjan Sinha,Adv.

Mr. S.K. Pandey,Adv.

Mr. Anshul Rai,Adv.

Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties at some
length.

Mr. Salman Khurshid, learned Senior Counsel
appearing on behalf of the Vice Chancellor and Mr. Raju
Ramachandran, learned senior counsel for the Aligarh
Muslim University (AMU) point out that the University
claims to be a minority institution which claim is being

examined by a Bench of three Judges of this Court in Civil Appeal No. 2286 of 2006 @ SLP(C)No. 6783 of 2006 titled as Aligarh Muslim Univeristy Vs. Naresh Agarwal & Ors. They argue that some of the questions that arise for determination in these proceedings may have to await the pronouncement of this Court on the question of the AMU being a minority institution.

Alternatively, they submit that these matters

3 should also be directed to be listed for hearing along with Civil Appeal No. 2286 of 2006 mentioned above to avoid any conflict in findings of this Court. We find merit in the submissions and we direct that the matter shall be listed along with Civil Appeal No. 2286 of 2006 for hearing before a Bench of Three Judges on 10 th

January,
2017.

(Madhu Bala)

(Veena Khera)

Court Master

Court Master