```
Ì1
    ITEM NO.30 + 32
                                                                    COURT NO.1
                                                                                                                           SECTION PIL(W)
                                        SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
                                                        RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
    Writ Petition(s)(Civil) 906/2016
    VIVEK NARAYAN SHARMA
                                                                                                                       Petitioner(s)
                                                                              VERSUS
    UNION OF INDIA
                                                                                                                       Respondent(s)
     (With appln. (s) for interim relief and permission to appear and
     argue in person and office report)
    WITH W.P.(C) No. 908/2016
    (With appln.(s) for permission to appear and argue in person and
    Office Report)
    W.P.(C) No. 913/2016
    (With Office Report)
    W.P.(C) No. 916/2016
    (With appln.(s) for stay and Office Report)
    W.P.D.No.37946 of 2016
    Date: 15/11/2016 These petitions were called on for hearing today.
    CORAM :
                           HON' BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
                           HON' BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD
    For Petitioner(s)
    (WP 916 of 2016) Mr. Kapil Sibal, Sr. Adv.
    Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Adv.
    Mr. V. K. Biju, Adv.
    Mr. Gaurav Ghosh, Adv.
    Mr. Talha A. Rahman, Adv.
    Mr. Muhamed Nissam Pasha, Adv.
    Ms. Anusha, Adv.
    (WP 906 of 2016) Mr. Vivek Narayan Sharma, Adv.
     ( Petitioner-in-person)
     (WP 908 of 2016) Mr. Sangam Lal Pandey, Adv.
     (Petitioner-in-person)
     (WP 913 of 2016) Mr. C.R. Jaya Sukia, Adv.
    Mr. Savravarora, Adv.
    Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.
(WP D.No.37946/2016)Ms. Sadhna Kumar, Ad Mr. Shariq Ahmed, Adv. Mr. Amit Kishre Sinha, Adv. Mr. Sunil Kumar Verma, Adv. For Respondent(s) Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, AG
    (WP D.No.37946/2016)Ms. Sadhna Kumar, Adv.
    Mr. Tushar Mehta, ASG
    Mr. Atma Ram Nadkarni, ASG
    Mr. Saurabh Kirpal, Adv.
    Mr. R. Balasubramanian, Adv.
    Ms. Rekha Pandey, Adv.
    Mr. S.S. Rebello, Adv.
Mr. S.S. Rebello, Adv.
Mr. Amog Prabhudesai, Adv.
Mr. Ananya Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Santosh Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Aarti Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Diksha Rai, Adv.
Mr. Subas Chandra Acharya
Mr. Nikhil Rohatgi, Adv.
Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.
    Mr. Subas Chandra Acharya, Adv.
    Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.
    UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
                                                         ORDER
    We have heard learned counsel for the petitioners
    length.
    We have also heard Mr.
We have also heard Mr. Muk General, who offers to file petitions, answering not only the writ petitions but also Government have taken with a This is a True Copy of the court records online. And the second second
                                                                             Mukul Rohatgi,
                                                                                                                         learned Attorney
                                                                          file an affidavit in reply to
                                                                                                                                                                        the
                                                                                                                                                                                     writ
                                                                                      the legal contentions urged
    the writ petitions but also
                                                                                         enumerating
                                                                                                                     the
                                                                                                                                     steps
                                                                                                                                                       that
                                                                               a view
                                                                                                        to avoiding
                                                                                                                                            hardship
```

www.ecourtsindia.com

(MAHABIR SINGH)

COURT MASTER

inconvenience to the common man. Mr. Kapil Sibal, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner, submits that while some measures have been taken yet some more need to be taken. He proposes to hand over a list of certain additional measures that need to be taken by Government to prevent any hardship, inconvenience or the prejudice to the people. Mr. Rohatgi submits that he will have no objection to any such suggestions being examined at the appropriate level such measures, as are considered feasible without in any manner prejudicing the larger purpose underlying the scheme, being taken by the Government. Post again on Friday, the 25 th November, 2016. Additional document/affidavit, if any, be also filed by the petitioner in the meantime.

(VEENA KHERA)

COURT MASTER