SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.A. SAYEED

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).35748-35749/2012

Petitioner(s)

Respondent(s)

BAREJA AUTO MOBILES PVT. LTD.

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

(With prayer for interim reliculation of the control of the co (With prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 17073-17074 of 2013

(With office report)

Date: 27/03/2014 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Marsook efaki, Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Goel, Adv.

Ms. Shamama Anis, Adv.

Mr. Syed Mehdi Imam, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. K.L. Janjani, Adv.

Mr. Vishal Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Aman Pal, Adv.

Ms. Priya J., Adv.

Mr. Nikilesh Ramachandran ,Adv

Mr. V. Sudeer, Adv.

Ms. Srishti, Adv.

Mr. Balaji Srinivasan ,Adv

Mr. D.S. Chaddha, Adv.

Mr. Prabuddha Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Vikash Singh ,Adv

Ms. Anubha Agrawal , Adv

Ms. Kusum Chaudhary , Adv

Mr. Pawan, Adv.

Ms. Poonam, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Pandey, Adv.

M/s Lawyer's Knit & Co.

Mr. Biswajit Roy, Adv.

Ms. Priya Puri, Adv.

Item No.33

www.ecourtsindia.com

-2-

Mr. Avneesh Arputham, Adv.

Mr. Praveen Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Ambuj Agarwal, Adv.

Ms. Anubha Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Punit Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Ashwani Kumar, Adv.

Ms. Alka Sinha, Adv.

Mr. Anuvrat Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Vasundra Singh, Adv.

Ms. Kusum Chaudhary, Adv.

UPON hearing coursell states a True Copy of the court reconstruction of the states. UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following ORDER

The status/position of the respondents is shown in the Tabular Chart,

a perusal of which indicates that some of the respondents are duly represented while some of them are unserved.

Registry to submit a complete report about the status of the duly represented respondents as to whether the counter affidavits on their behalf have been filed or not so as to facilitate this court to pass appropriate orders on the next date.

Respondent Nos.5, 18, 19, 37, 43, 47, 49 are reported to be duly and properly served through the District Court and respondent Nos.13, 23, 33, 36 and 41 are reported to be duly served through post but none appeared on their behalf.

In respect of the unserved respondents, notice be reissued through the concerned District Court besides usual

Item No.33 -3-

mode. The learned counsel for the petitioner to furnish the fresh and complete address of respondent Nos.4 and 22 within four weeks thereafter notice be issued forthwith, as directed above.

So far as the duly represented respondents, who have yet not filed their counter affidavits on record, are granted six weeks' time to file counter affidavit, as final chance subject to service of the complete set of the pleadings on the learned counsel appearing on their behalf within two weeks of which necessary proof be filed on record. SLP(C) Nos.35748-35749/2012

The duly represented respondents are granted six weeks' time, as final chance, for filing counter affidavit subject to service of the complete set of the pleadings on the learned counsel appearing on their behalf within two weeks of which necessary proof be filed on record.

Counter affidavits on behalf of respondent Nos.4, 5, 8, 13, 15 and 17 have already come on record. The office report indicates that proof of service of copy of the counter affidavit on the other side has not been filed on record. However, the learned counsels appearing on their behalf have made a submission at Bar that copies have been sered on 19.10.2013. Registry to ascertain and verify.

Item No.33

The learned counsel for respondent No.21 has made a submission at Bar that counter affidavit has already been filed on record. Registry to ascertain and verify.

List again on 11.7.2014.

(M.A. SAYEED)

REGISTRAR

rd