

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.K. HANJURA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

Respondent (s)

WITH SLP(C)Nos. 17124/2011, 13993,15210,15211/2012

Date: 11/04/2014 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv.
Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.
Mr. Deepak Goel, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Rustom B. Hathikhanawala, Adv.
Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Adv.
Mr. Raghav Chadha, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondent in SLP(C) No. 17124/2011, 15210 and 15211/2012 are present.

The Learned counsel for the Respondent in SLP(C) No. 17124/2011 seeks and is given four weeks' time for filing the counter affidavit.

Item No. 8	-	2	-	..2/-
------------	---	---	---	-------

What gets revealed from the perusal of the Office Report is that although by Order dated 22.1.2014 of this Court the learned counsel for the respondents in SLP(C) Nos. 15210 and 15211/2012 was given last opportunity for filing the counter affidavit yet he has not done the needful so far. Therefore, no further opportunity is warranted to be given to the said respondents for filing the counter affidavit taking into consideration the rule position.

In SLP(C) No. 13993/2012 - The learned counsel for the respondent shall be directed to cure the defects whatever have been found in the vakaltnama and Appearance filed by him. He shall do the needful within a period of two weeks', failing which appropriate orders shall follow on the next date.

In SLP(C) No. 14135/2011 the Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks and is given two weeks' time, as last chance to file the affidavit in proof of dasti service of notice already

issued to the respondent.
List again on 4.8.2014.

mg

(M.K. HANJURA)
Registrar