

ITEM NO.27

COURT NO.6

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 14941/2023

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 14-07-2023 in CRLP No. 7216/2013 passed by the High Court of Andhra Pradesh at Amravati)

E.V. SATISH @ SATISH KUMAR & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 226915/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Date : 30-01-2024 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HRISHIKESH ROY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Y.V. Anil Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Gaichangpou Gangmei, Adv.
Mr. Yashvir Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Lothungbeni T. Lotha, Adv.
Mr. Yimyang Longkumer, Adv.
M/s. AG Veritas Law, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Mahfooz Ahsan Nazki, AOR
Mr. Polanki Gowtham, Adv.
Mr. K.V. Girish Chowdary, Adv.
Mr. T. Vijaya Bhaskar Reddy, Adv.
Ms. Rajeswari Mukherjee, Adv.
Ms. Archita Nigam, Adv.
Mr. Meeran Maqbool, Adv.

Mr. S. Ravishankar, Adv.
Ms. Ruhini Dey, Adv.
Mrs. S. Yamunah Nachiar, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard Mr. Gaichangpou Gangmei, learned counsel appearing for

the petitioners. Also heard Mr. S. Ravishankar, learned counsel appearing for respondent no. 2 (informant).

The State of Andhra Pradesh is also represented by the learned counsel.

In the judgment dated 03.12.2019 (Annexure P-6), in the Original Suit No. 9/2013, there is a clear finding that the sale agreement dated 02.07.2009 (Exhibit A-1), is not a forged document. The suit, however, was dismissed taking into account other grounds.

Leave granted. The interim order shall continue until further orders.

(DEEPAK GUGLANI)
AR-cum-PS

(KAMLESH RAWAT)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR