```
18SLP(C) 97-134/17
 1
 ITEM NO.18
                         COURT NO.2
                                                  SECTION III
                 SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
                        RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
 Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) Nos.97-134/2017
  (Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 02/09/2016
  in WP No. 43706/2016 02/09/2016 in WP No. 43871/2016 02/09/2016 in
 WP No. 43937/2016 02/09/2016 in WP No. 43938/2016 02/09/2016 in WP
 No. 43941/2016 02/09/2016 in WP No. 43948/2016 02/09/2016 in WP No.
 43949/2016
              02/09/2016
                         in
                              WP
                                    No.
                                          43950/2016
                                                      02/09/2016
                                                                        WP
                                                                            No.
 43951/2016
                                          43969/2016
              02/09/2016
                          in
                               WP
                                    No.
                                                      02/09/2016
                                                                   in
                                                                       WP
                                                                            No.
 44100/2016
                                                      02/09/2016
              02/09/2016
                          in
                               WP
                                    No.
                                          44107/2016
                                                                   in
                                                                       WP
                                                                            No.
 44206/2016
              02/09/2016
                                                      02/09/2016
                              WP
                                   No.
                                          44233/2016
                                                                       WP
                          in
                                                                   in
                                                                            No.
             02/09/2016 in
 44253/2016
                              WP
                                          44254/2016
                                                      02/09/2016
                                   No.
                                                                   in
                                                                       WP
                                                                            No.
                              WP
 44255/2016
             02/09/2016 in
                                          44256/2016 02/09/2016
                                   No.
                                                                   in
                                                                       WP
                                                                            No.
 44257/2016 02/09/2016 in WP
                                   No. 44258/2016 02/09/2016
                                                                   in
                                                                            No.
 44259/2016 02/09/2016 in WP
                                   No. 44260/2016 02/09/2016
                                                                  in
                                                                            No.
  44270/2016 02/09/2016 in WP
                                   No. 44271/2016 02/09/2016
                                                                  in
                                  No.
  44329/2016 02/09/2016 in WP
                                         44417/2016 02/09/2016
                                                                  in
  44727/2016 02/09/2016 in WP
                                   No.
                                         45967/2016 02/09/2016
                                                                  in
                                                                      WP
  46231/2016
              02/09/2016 in WP
                                    No.
                                          46232/2016 02/09/2016
                                                                  in
                                                                      WP
                                                                            No.
  46233/2016
              02/09/2016 in WP
                                    No.
                                          46234/2016 02/09/2016
                                                                 in
                                                                      WP
                                                                            No.
  46532/2016
              02/09/2016 in WP
                                    No.
                                          46533/2016 02/09/2016
                                                                 in WP
                                                                            No.
 46815/2016
              02/09/2016 in WP
                                    No.
                                         46963/2016
                                                      02/09/2016
                                                                   in WP
                                                                            No.
 47228/2016 02/09/2016 in WP No. 47713/2016 passed by the High Court
 of Karnataka at Bangalore)
 THE STATE OF KARNATAKA AND ORS. ETC. ETC.
                                                  Petitioner(s)
                                 VERSUS
 B. RUDRAGOUDA ETC. ETC.
                                                  Respondent(s)
 (With appln. (s) for exemption from filing O.T. and interim relief
  and office report)
 Date: 13/02/2017 These petitions were called on for hearing today.
  CORAM :
           HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA
           HON' BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI
 For Petitioner(s) Mr. S.K. Bagaria, Sr. Adv.
 Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Adv.
                   Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, AOR
www.ecourtsindia
 Mr. Ajith Singh, Adv.
 Mr. Parikshit P. Angadi, Adv.
 SLP(C) 97-134/17
 For Respondent(s) Mr. P. Chidambram, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Mahesh Agarwal, Adv.
 Ms. Neeha Nagpal, Adv.
 Mr. Shashank Manish, Adv.
                   Mr. E. C. Agrawala, AOR
 Mr. K.N. Phanindra, Adv.
 Mr. Ninad Laud, Adv.
                   Mr. Jayant Mohan, AOR
 Mr. Anjuman Tripathy, Adv.
 Mr. Karan Mathur, Adv.
 Mr. Gourab Banerji, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Rohit Sharma, Adv.
 Mr. Aditya Narayan, Adv.
 Mr. Rounak Nayak, Adv.
 Mr. Sahil Tagotra, Adv.
                  Mr. O. P. Bhadani, AOR
 Mr. S. Ganesh, Sr. Adv.
                   Mr. Yashraj Singh Deora, AOR
 Ms. Priyadershinee Singh, Adv.
            UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
```

counsel

counsel for

Ganesh

the

and

senior

Chidambaram, Mr. S.

senior

ORDER

learned

Bagaria,

Mr. P.

learned

Heard

the

Mr.

Gourab

petitioners,

S.K.

Banerji,

respondents. The present special leave petitions interim order dated 2 nd assail the September, 2016, whereby the High Court of Karnataka in a batch of writ petitions, has directed as follows:- $\boldsymbol{\hat{a}} \neg \ \boldsymbol{S} \ \boldsymbol{In}$  the result, subject to the condition furnishing bank guarantee, as stipulated above, the respondents are restrained from collecting the forest development fee from the writ petitioners during the pendnecy of these writ petitions and the respondents shall not take any coercive action against the writ petitioners for non-payment of the forest development fees in terms of the Karnataka Forest (Amendment) Act, 2016.⬠\235 SLP(C) 97-134/17 The said paragraph is to be read in conjunction with the earlier paragraph which is to the following effect:- $\hat{\text{a}} \neg \; \text{S However}, \quad \text{the writ petitioners are directed to furnish bank guarantee} \quad \text{in respect of } 25\% \; \text{per}$ centum of the demand in relation to future transactions, in favour of the State of Karnataka. Upon furnishing such bank guarantee in respect of future transactions under the amended Act, the authorities concerned shall issue forest transit permits in favour fo the writ petitioners.⬠\235 In essence, the High Court has directed that 25% should be furnished by way of bank guarantee towards the fees. We have been apprised at the Bar that the has determined a schedule for disposal of the case and the State has not filed the counter affidavit. In view of the aforesaid, we direct the State Government to file counter affidavit within four weeks hence and rejoinder affidavit, if any, be filed within four weeks and rejoinder affidavit, if any, be filed within four weeks therefrom. The High Court is requested to dispose of the matter within six months hence.

Be it noted, the interim order that has been passed by this Court was after the Karnataka Forest (Amendment) Act,

2016, has come into existence in place of the earlier enactment which has been declared as unconstitutional by the High Court. The matters challenging the said judgment and order of the High Court are pending before this Court wherein there has been a direction for stay of refund. We have also been told that the Act is an act of validation. Chidambaram, learned senior counsel for the respondents urged that the Act really does not take away the base of the earlier judgment, but simply validates which is not. According to the learned senior counsel, the present piece of legislation basically tantamounts to nullification of SLP(C) 97-134/17 earlier judgment without removing the base. Be that as may, when a fees is imposed, the High Court should not thought of bank guarantee. There could have been interim arrangement as advised in law. In our considered opinion, the interim arrangement should be that the respondents who have preferred the writ petitions before the High Court shall pay 50% of the demanded amount from the date of demand amount imposable under the provisions of the Act and furnish a bond for the rest of the amount. Our order shall take effect from for the rest of the amount. Our order shall take effect from the date the 2016 Act came into force.

With the aforesaid modification in the order of High

Court, the special leave petitions stand disposed of. Any payment made in favour of the State shall be subject t payment made in favour of the State shall be subject to

www.ecourtsindia.com www.ecourtsindia.com www.ecourtsindia.com www.ecourtsindia.com

result of the (Chetan Kumar Court Master Court Master result of the writ petitions pending before the High Court. (Chetan Kumar) Court Master (H.S. Parasher)