### **REVISED\***

-1-

ITEM NO.42

**REGISTRAR COURT** 

**SECTION XII** 

# SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MS. SUJATA SINGH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 23637-23638/2023

A KRISHNAMURTHY (DIED) THROUGH LRS

Petitioner(s)

**VERSUS** 

V. DHANASEKARAN & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date: 15-10-2024 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Deepika Nandakumar, Adv.

Mr. Naresh Kumar, AOR

Mr. Aakash Elango, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Ekta Choudhary, AOR

Mr. Divyank Dutt Dwivedi, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Instead of filing application for substituted service in respect of respondent nos.1 to 4, 6 to 9 and 11 to 19, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has filed copy of newspaper publication, since no application for substituted service is filed, proof of publication cannot be taken on record. Hence, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner is granted two weeks time as a last opportunity to file application for substituted service or to take fresh steps.

Respondent no.10 has already opted not to file counter affidavit.

Service is complete on respondent no.5, but none has entered appearance.

-2-

List again on 18.12.2024.

SUJATA SINGH Registrar

\* Record of proceeding is revised as two different office reports were uploaded by the office and this record of proceeding has been made on the basis of office report, updated later on.

## SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

### BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MS. SUJATA SINGH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 23637-23638/2023

A KRISHNAMURTHY (DIED) THROUGH LRS

Petitioner(s)

#### **VERSUS**

V. DHANASEKARAN & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date: 15-10-2024 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Deepika Nandakumar, Adv.

Mr. Naresh Kumar, AOR

Mr. Aakash Elango, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Ekta Choudhary, AOR

Mr. Divyank Dutt Dwivedi, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Service is complete on respondent nos.2, 3, 4, 7 and 8, but none has entered appearance.

Opportunity to file counter affidavit to respondent no.1 has already been declined.

Notice sent to respondent nos.5 and 9 for service have been received back with the postal remarks "unclaimed". Service is deemed to be complete in view of Judgment passed by this Hon'ble Court in K. Bhaskaran Vs. Sankar, an Vaidhyan Balan and Another, (1999) 7 Supreme Court Cases 510 and order passed by the Registrar Court in T.P.(C) No(s). 2090/2019 on 13.10.2023. Still none has entered appearance on behalf of respondent nos.5 and 9.

-2-

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has filed an application for substitution in respect of deceased respondent no.6 alongwith application for setting aside abatement and application for condonation of delay in filing setting aside abatement.

Hence, process the said applications for listing before the Hon'ble Judge-in-Chambers, for kind consideration.

SUJATA SINGH Registrar

pm