

ITEM NO.19+21+22

COURT NO.14

SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal(C) No(s). 16325-16326/2022

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 02-09-2022 in MAT No. 957/2022 02-09-2022 in MAT No. 993/2022 passed by the High Court At Calcutta)

MANIK BHATTACHARYA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAMESH MALIK & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.136943/2022-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

SLP(C) No(s). 17137/2022

WITH

SLP(C) No. 17044-17045/2022 (XVI)
 (IA No.143785/2022-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

WITH

SLP(C) No(s). 17208-17209/2022

Date : 27-09-2022 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIRUDDHA BOSE
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSHU DHULIA

Counsel for the Parties:

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Parthiv K. Goswami, Sr. Adv.
 Ms. Diksha Rai, AOR
 Mr. Ankit Agarwal, Adv.
 Mr. Kumarpal Chopra, Adv.
 Mr. Gowtham Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Amit Pawan, AOR
 Mr. Joydeep Majumdar, Adv.
 Mr. Anand Nandan, Adv.
 Mr. Hassan Zubair, Adv.

Mr. Sochit Singh Rawat, Adv.
 Ms. Shivangi, Adv.
 Mr. Aakash, Adv.

Mr. Bikash Ranjan Bhattacharya, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Rauf Rahim, AOR
 Mr. Bikram Bannerjee, Adv.
 Mr. Ali Asghar Rahim, Adv.
 Mr. Firdous Samim, Adv.

Mr. Mahesh Jethmalani, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Kabir Shankar Bore, Adv.
 Mr. Amit Kumar Mishra, Adv.
 Mr. Surjendu Sankar Das, AOR
 Mr. Bikram Banerjee, Adv.
 Mr. Annie Mittal, Adv.
 Mr. Abhijeet, Adv.

Mr. Vikas Singh, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Ravinder Singh, Adv.
 Mr. Nipun Saxena, Adv.
 Mr. Kapish Seth, Adv.
 Ms. Raveesha Gupta, Adv.
 Ms. Mantika Hayani, Adv.
 Ms. Deeksha Agarwal, Adv.
 Mr. Archit Adlakha, Adv.
 Ms. Sumya Saxena, Adv.
 Ms. Astha Sharma, AOR

Ms. Meenakshi Arora, Adv.
 Mr. Joydeep Mazumdar, Adv.
 Mr. Vikas Kumar, Adv.
 Ms. Ananya Mukherjee, Adv.
 Ms. Shalini Kaul, AOR
 Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Kunal Chatterji, AOR
 Ms. Maitrayee Banerjee, Adv.
 Mr. Rohit Bansal, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
 O R D E R

SLP(C) NO. 17137/2022

Let notice be issued. The respondent no. 1 appears on caveat. Mr. Rauf Rahim, learned advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondent no. 1. Thus, formal service of notice on respondent no. 1 is dispensed with. So far as respondent no. 2 is concerned, let notice be served upon the learned advocate who usually appears for

Central Agency. Respondent nos. 3,4,6,10,11,12,13 and 14 be served through dasti as well as through regular course.

Affidavit of service to be filed by the advocate on record of the petitioners in this matter. We do not think any notice is required to be served upon the respondent nos. 7,8 and 9 at this stage. Learned counsel for respondent no. 5 Ms. Astha Sharma accepts notice on behalf of the State of West Bengal.

SLP(C) Nos. 16325-16326/2022

Let no coercive step be taken against the petitioner till 28th September, 2022.

SLP(C) Nos. 17208-17209/2022 with SLP(C) No. 17044-17045/2022

Issue notice.

Service be effected upon respondent nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8,9,10,11,12,14 and 15 through Dasti and also regular course.

SLP(C) No. 17044-17045/2022

Issue notice.

Service to be effected upon respondent nos. 1 to 9, 12,13,14 and 15 by dasti and regular course. Ms. Astha Sharma, learned advocate appears on behalf of respondent nos. 10 and 11 and accepts notice on their behalf. Formal service of notice on the said respondent is dispensed with.

In the event, any of the respondents are already represented by their learned advocates in this set of petitions, such service may be effected upon the said respondents through their learned advocates.

Let notice of these proceedings also be served upon Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, learned advocate who appears on behalf of the CBI.

List tomorrow at 02:00 pm as item no. 1.

(SNEHA)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(VIDYA NEGI)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR