```
\91
  ITEM NO.3
                          COURT NO.1
                                                     SECTION XVII
                  SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
                          RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
  Civil Appeal No(s). 1119-1120/2016
  SATILILA SEHKARI AWAS SAMITI LTD.
                                                       Appellant(s)
                                    VERSUS
  UNION OF INDIA & ORS ETC. ETC.
                                                       Respondent(s)
         appln. (s) for ad-interim ex-parte
                                                        stay and
                                                                       exemption
  filing lengthy list of dates and office report)
  WITH C.A. No. 7193-7194/2015
 (With appln.(s) for stay
                                    and appln.(s) for
                                                             extension of
                                                                              time
                                   appln.(s) for modification of court's
  depositing the money
                             and
  order and Office Report)
  C.A. No. 13844-13845/2015
  (With Office Report)
  C.A. No. 7191-7192/2015
  (With appln.(s) for extension of time for depositing the money and
  appln.(s) for clarification of
                                         court's order and
                                                                        appln.(s)
  permission to file additional documents and appln.(s) for stay and
  appln.(s) for modification of court's order and Office Report)
  C.A. No. 9108/2015
  (With appln.(s) for stay and and Office Report)
  C.A. No. 5618/2015
 (With Office Report)
  C.A. No. 13842-13843/2015
  (With Office Report)
  Date: 04/07/2016 These appeals were called on for hearing today.
  CORAM :
            HON' BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
            HON' BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD
  For Appellant(s) Mr. Rajiv Dutta, Sr. Adv.
  C.A. NO.1119-1120/2016 Mr. Satish Mishra, Adv.
  Mr. Kumar Dushyant Singh, Adv.
  Mr. Daniel George, Adv.
  Mr. Shravan K. Yammanur, Adv.
 C.A. NO.7193-94/2015 Dr. A.M. Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
 Ms. Rohini Musa, Adv.
 C.A. No.13842-843/2015 Mr. Kailash Vasdev, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. R. Mohan, Adv.
 Mr. V. Balaji, Adv.
  Mr. C. Kannan, Adv.
  Ms. Sripradha Krishnan, Adv.
  C.A. No.9108/2015 Mr. Amit Singh Chadha, Sr. Adv.
  Mr. K.S. Mahadevan, Adv.
  Mr. Krishna Kumar R.S., Adv.
  Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.
 Mr. Deva Vrat Anand,Adv.
  C.A.No.5618/2015 Mr. Mohan Parasaran, Sr.Adv.
 Mr. Ashvin Kumar D.S., Adv.
 Mr. Rohan Cherian, Adv.
  Mr. D. L. Chidananda, Adv.
  C.A. No.13844-845/2015 Mr. R. Mohan, Adv.
  Mr. V. Balaji, Adv.
  Mr. C. Kannan, Adv.
  Ms. Sripradha Krishnan, Adv.
  Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, Adv.
  C.A. No.7191-92/2015 Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.
  For Respondent(s) Ms. Pinky Anand, ASG
  Mr. R. Balasubramanian, Adv.
Ms. Ritu Bhardwaj,Adv.
Mr. Somya Rathore,Adv.
Mr. Rishabh Jain,Adv.
Mr. Rahul Pratap,Adv.
Mr. G.S. Makkar,Adv.

This is a True Copy of the co
  Ms. Ritu Bhardwaj, Adv.
```

www.ecourtsindia.com

```
Mr. Shreekant N. Terdal,Adv.
 For CPCB Mr. Vijay Panjwani, Adv.
  Mr. T. V. S. Raghavendra Sreyas, Adv.
  Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.
  Mr. Rahul Pratap, Adv.
  Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, Adv.
  UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
                             ORDER
  Heard.
  By our Order dated 22 nd January, 2016, we had directed
  Government of India to file a compilation containing certain information referred to in the said order. This included
  information as to whether any environmental clearance have been
  issued to the appellants, particulars of clearances refused or
  under process, were also demanded. The compilation was also
  required to set out the stage at which the construction
  undertaken by the appellant has reached. Copies of
  verification/inspection reports, if any, on the basis of which
  environment clearances had been granted to anyone of the
  appellants, were also directed to be filed.
  The matter was then taken up on 4 th
  March, 2016 when
  another opportunity was granted to the Union of India to comply
  with the direction, mentioned above. Unfortunately, however,
  the Union of India has not yet done the needful in terms of the
  said orders. Ms. Pinky Anand, learned Additional Solicitor General, today prays for and is granted three weeks' further time to comply with the directions contained in the said two orders, subject to payment of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand) as costs to be deposited in the Supreme Court
  Advocates-on-Record Welfare Fund/Trust.
  We are informed by learned counsel for the parties that
  the Committee appointed by the National Green Tribunal in terms
  of its order dated 7 th
   July, 2015 has submitted its Report to
  the Tribunal. A copy of the said Report has been filed in this
  Court also in terms of our direction. A reading
  Tribunal's Order dated 7 th
  July, 2015 would show that the Tribunal proposes to pass further directions after the Report
  by the Expert Committee is received by it.
                                                                     Now, that the
  Committee has submitted its report, we see no reason why the
  Tribunal should be prevented from examining the same and
  passing appropriate orders considered just and proper.
  In the circumstances, we allow the Tribunal to proceed
  with the passing of the directions, referred to in para 163(13)
  of its Order dated 7th
July, 2015. We make it clear that
  learned counsel for the parties shall be free to urge all such
  submissions as may be open to them on facts and in law before the Tribunal. We also make it clear that we have expressed no
            on the contentions
  opinion
                                        that
                                               may be available
  parties.
  At this stage, Ms. Pinky Anand submits that Government of
  India have issued a draft notification dated 10 th
           2016
  whereunder the Government are proposing to promulgate new rules
  for grant of environmental clearance to any construction-related projects. A copy of the
construction-related projects. A copy of the draft notification shall be placed on record by Ms. Anand and should the notification be finalised by the Government before the next date of hearing, a copy of the final notification shall also be filed. The respondents who have not filed counted.

This is a True Copy of the court records online. Authenticated @ https://eCourtsIndia.com/cni
  date of hearing, a copy of the final notification shall also be
                                                                   counter affidavit
```

may also filed their counter affidavits within six weeks. I.As. No.11-12 in Civil Appeals No.7191-7192 of 2016 Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, learned senior counsel appearing for the applicant, seeks leave to withdraw these applications reserving liberty to the applicant to seek such redress before the Tribunal as may be open to the applicant in law . I.As. NO.11-12 are accordingly dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty prayed for.

We make it clear that we have expressed no opinion on the

merits of the submissions made in the interlocutory applications.

I.As. No.7-8

Ms. Rohini Moosa, learned counsel for the applicant, seeks leave to withdraw these applications reserving liberty to the applicant to seek such other redress before the Tribunal as may be open to the applicant in law .

I.As. NO.7-8 are accordingly dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty prayed for.

We make it clear that we have expressed no opinion on the merits of the submissions made in the interlocutory applications.

(MAHABIR SINGH) (VEENA KHERA) COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER