ΙA

www.ecourtsindia.com

(s)

www.ecourtsindia.com

Item No.7

SUPREMECOURTOF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MRS. RACHNA GUPTA

Criminal Appeal No(s). 2358-2365/2009

K.RADHAKRISHNAN Appellant(

s)

**VERSUS** 

WOMEN LAWYER'S ASSOCIATION & ORS.

Respondent

(with office report)

WTTH

C.A. No. 2481-2484/2010 (With Office Report)

Date: 13/05/2015 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr Shreyas Mehrotra, Adv.

Mr. K. Rajeev, Adv. Ms Rina Kumari, Adv. Mr. Sumit Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mrs Malavika J, Adv. Mr. Sureshan P., Adv. Mr. R. Nedumaran, Adv. Mr Sudhakar Dwivedi, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following ORDER

Criminal Appeal

No(s). 2358-2365/2009

Application for deleting the names of respondent nos. 34

Signature Not Verified

and 63 has not bee

Digitally signed by

Hema Joshi

Date: 2015.05.18

10:52:02 IST

and 63 has not been filed. The ld. Counsel has requested some

10:52:02 IST

Reason:

time. Be filed within four weeks and be processed more

thereafter as per rules.

-2-

C.A.No.2481/2010

```
www.ecourts
```

sindia.com

www.ecc

www.ecourtsindi

ourtsindia.com

same.

www.ec

ΙA

www.ecourtsin

s)

(s)

www.ecourtsindia

Among 15 respondents, service of respondent nos. 1 to 6,

8 to 10 and 12 to 14 is complete but none has entered appearance.

Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent Nos. 7,11 and 15 has already been filed.

C.A.No.2482/2010

Among ten respondents, presence of all the ten respondents is complete but none has entered appearance.

C.A.No.2483/2010

Among 13 respondents herein, respondent nos. 3,6,9,10 and 13 have failed to enter appearance despite service.

Respondent Nos. 1,2,4,5,7,8,11 and 12 being common to other appeals, have also failed to appear despite being duly served.

C.A.No.2484/2010

Service with respect to respondent Nos. 6,8,10,15 and 16 among 16 respondents is still not complete.

Appellant has to take appropriate fresh steps for the

Respondent Nos. 1 to 3,4,5,7,9 and 11 to 14 have failed to enter appearance despite service.

List again on 21.8.2015.

(RACHNA GUPTA) Registrar

ITEM NO.7

REGISTRAR COURT. 1

SECTION I

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MRS. RACHNA GUPTA

Criminal Appeal No(s). 2358-2365/2009

K.RADHAKRISHNAN Appellant(

VERSUS

WOMEN LAWYER'S ASSOCIATION & ORS.

Respondent

(with office report)

```
www.ecourtsindia.com
```

```
WITH
     C.A. No. 2481-2484/2010
     (With Office Report)
     Date: 13/05/2015 These appeals were called on for hearing today.
     For Appellant(s)
                                         Mr Shreyas Mehrotra, Adv.
                                         Mr. K. Rajeev, Adv.
                                         Ms Rina Kumari, Adv.
                                         Mr. Sumit Kumar, Adv.
     For Respondent(s)
                                         Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, Adv.
                                         Mrs Malavika J, Adv.
                                         Mr. Sureshan P., Adv.
                                         Mr. R. Nedumaran, Adv.
                                         Mr Sudhakar Dwivedi, Adv.
                             UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
                                               ORDER
                                      No(s). 2358-2365/2009
     Criminal Appeal
                        Application for deleting the names of respondent nos. 34
Signature Not Verified
     and 63 has not been filed. The ld. Counsel has requested some
Digitally signed by
Hema Joshi
Date: 2015.05.18
10:52:02 IST
Reason:
                        time. Be filed within four weeks and be
    more
                                                                                  processed
     thereafter as per rules.
                                             -2-
Item No.7
C.A.No.2481/2010
        Among 15 respondents, service of respondent nos. 1 to 6,
                                        complete but none has
   to
         10
               and 12 to 14 is
                                                                       entered
appearance.
        Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent Nos. 7,11 and 15 has
already been filed.
C.A.No.2482/2010
                                          presence of
                          respondents,
                                                               all
                                                                       the
        Among
                  ten
                                                                             ten
respondents is complete but none has entered appearance.
```

C.A.No.2483/2010

Among 1

This is a True Copy Among 13 respondents herein, respondent nos. 3,6,9,10 same.

and 13 have failed to enter appearance despite service.

Respondent Nos. 1,2,4,5,7,8,11 and 12 being common to other appeals, have also failed to appear despite being duly served.

C.A.No.2484/2010

Service with respect to respondent Nos. 6,8,10,15 and 16 among 16 respondents is still not complete.

Appellant has to take appropriate fresh steps for the

Respondent Nos. 1 to 3,4,5,7,9 and 11 to 14 have failed to enter appearance despite service.

List again on 21.8.2015.

(RACHNA GUPTA) Registrar