## SUPREME COURT O F INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).16308/2007

(From the judgement and order(s) dated 29/08/2007 in CWP No.1966/200 of The HIGH COURT OF RAJASTHAN AT JAIPUR)

ANKUR GUTKHA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

INDIAN ASTHAMA CARE SOCIETY & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for directions and interim directions and intervention and impleadment and with prayer for interim relief and office report) With

SLP(C)No.16314/2007

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C)No.16317/2007

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C)No.19467-19469/2007

(With appln.(s) for directions and clarification and impleadment and directions and exemption from filing O.T. and permission to file affidavit and clarification/direction and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

W.P.(C)No.131/2011(With office report)

W.P.(C)No.141/2011(With office report)

Conmt.Pet.(C)No.236/2011 In SLP(C) No.16308/2007

(With office report)

Conmt.Pet.(C)No.237/2011 In SLP(C) No.16308/2007

(With appln.(s) for clarification and directions)

W.P.(C)No.289/2011(With office report)

Conmt.Pet.(C)No.288/2011 In SLP(C) No.16308/2007

Conmt.Pet.(C)No.348/2011 In SLP(C) No.19467-19469/2007

Conmt.Pet.(C)No.356/2011 In SLP(C) No.16308/2007

W.P.(C)No.344/2011

W.P.(C)No.69/2011

(With appln.(s) for directions and impleadment and office report)

Contempt Petition © No.37/2012 in SLP 16308/2007

W.P.(C) No.182/2011(With office report)

W.P.(C) No.354/2011

(With appln.(s) for interim relief and office report)

W.P.(C) No. 354 of 2011

(with appln(s) for interim relief and office report)

Date: 12/12/2012 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Siddhartha Chowdhury, A.O.R.

Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Swarop, Adv.

Mr. K.C.Dua, A.O.R.

Mr. Arun K.Sinha, Adv.

Ms. Aparna Jha, A.O.R.

Mr. Prashant Bhushan, Adv.

Mr. Pranav Sachdeva, Adv.

Mr. Jay Kishore Singh, A.O.R.

Mr. Anil Kumar Jha, Adv. Mr. Santosh Kr. Sethi, Adv.

Mr. Samrendra Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Shiv Prakash Pandey, A.O.R.

Mr. Abhay A. Jena, Adv. Mr. Sarthak Mehrotra, Adv.

Ms. Bina Gupta, A.O.R.

Mr. D.N.Goburdhan, A.O.R.

Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, Adv.

Mr. P.B. Suresh, Adv. Mr. Vipin Nair, Adv. Mr. U. Banerjee, Adv.

For Respondent(s)/ Mr.Irshad Ahmad, A.O.R.
applicant(s)

Mr.P.V.Yogeswaran, A.O.R.

Mr. Mohan Jain, ASG Mr. D.K. Thakur, Adv.

Mr. Prabhat Kumar, Adv.

Ms. Shubham Aggarwal, Adv.

Dr.R.R.Deshpande, A.O.R.

Mr.Kundan Kumar Mishra, A.O.R.

Mr. Anil Kumar Jha, Adv.

Mr. Santosh Kr. Sethi, Adv. Mr. Samrendra Kumar, Adv.

Mr.Ravi Kumar Tomar, A.O.R.

Mr. Brijesh Kalappa, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.

Ms. Divya Nair, Adv.

Mr.N.Ganpathy, A.O.R.

Mr.Ashok Kumar Gupta II, A.O.R.

Mr.Prashant Bhushan, Adv.

Mr. Pranav Sachdeva, Adv.

Mr.Senthil Jagadeesan, A.O.R.

Ms. Aparna Jha, A.O.R.

Mrs.Shally Bhasin Maheshwari, A.O.R.

Mr.D.N.Goburdhan, A.O.R.

Mr.Subramonium Prasad, A.O.R.

Mr. Vikas Mehta, A.O.R.

Mr.Arun K.Sinha, A.O.R.

Mr.P.S.Sudheer, A.O.R.

Mr.Shiv Prakash Pandey, A.O.R.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr.B.D.Sharma, Adv.

Mr.Sanjai Kumar Pathak, Adv.

Mr. Chanchal Kumar Ganguly, A.O.R.

Ms.Meera Mathur, A.O.R.

Mr. Abhay Kumar, A.O.R.

Ms.Mridula Ray Bhardwaj, A.O.R.

Mr.Dheeraj Nair, A.O.R.

Mr.Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, A.O.R.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following O R D E R  $\,$ 

Arguments in some of these cases were heard in 2011 and again in 2012.

When the cases were listed for further hearing on 23.2.2012 the Court was already busy in other part-heard matters. Therefore, a direction was issued that these cases will be taken up after conclusion of hearing of other matters. However, the Registry misinterpreted that order and did not list the case for almost 10 months.

On a mention made by Shri Ravi Kumar Tomar, learned counsel for the respondent(s), the cases were ordered to be listed on 12.12.2012.

In the pre-lunch session, learned senior counsel appearing for some of the manufacturers of Gutka and Pan Masala made a grievance that they had no intimation about listing of the cases and made a request for adjournment. In response to the query made by the Court, learned counsel informed us that the counsel who made a mention did not inform them about listing of the cases today.

Learned counsel appearing for both the sides gave out that further hearing of these matters is likely to take at least two to three days.

In view of the above, we direct that this and all connected matters including those filed in public interest be listed for further hearing on 12th February, 2013. If the hearing is not concluded on that day, the cases are likely to be taken up on the next day.

I.A. No.2 of 2011 in Contempt Petition No.237 of 2011 Issue notice.

I.A. No.48 of 2012 in SLP(C) No.16308 of 2007

The application is dismissed because the issue raised therein has no connection with the subject matter of the main petition.