

X

ITEM NO.41

REGISTRAR COURT.1

SECTION IVB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.A. SAYEED

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).2222/2012

GIAN CHAND JAIN & ANR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for PERMISSION TO FILE ADDL. GROUNDS, permission to file additional documents and prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 40014 of 2012

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 15/07/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Prasenjit Keswani, Adv.

Mrs V.D. Khanna, Adv.

Ms. Anupama D., Adv.

M/S Mitter & Mitter Co.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Amanpreet Rahi, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Manjit Singh, Adv.

Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

SLP(C) No.2222/2012

Respondent No.1 has failed to file counter affidavit within the time granted by the Court. The request for further grant of time is rejected.

Item No.41

-2-

Respondent No.7 is reported to be duly and properly served but no one has put appearance on his behalf.

Service report in respect of respondent Nos.5, 6, 9, 11 and 12 is awaited from the concerned District Court.

Reminder be issued.

The learned counsel for respondent No.3 to cure the defects in the vakalatnama as pointed out on the last date itself.

Respondent Nos.2 and 4 are reported to be duly and properly served but no one has put appearance on their behalf.

Respondent No.8 is granted three weeks' time, as a final chance, for filing counter affidavit on record.

The learned counsel for respondent No.9 to cure the defects in the vakalatnama as pointed out in the office report viz., of affixing the requisite court fee of Rs.8/-.

On behalf of respondent No.10, the learned Advocate, Mr.Pratap Shankar, appearing on behalf of Mr. Neeraj Shekhar, Advocate-on-Record has accepted notice and undertaken to file vakalatnama and counter affidavit by the next date. Four weeks' time is granted.

SLP(C) No.40014/2012

Respondent No.1 has failed to file counter affidavit within the time granted by the Court.

Notice be reissued to respondent No.2 on filing of the process fee and spare copy within two weeks through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

In the event of default, the matter be placed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for necessary orders/directions.

List again on 26.8.2013.

	(M.A. SAYEED)
	REGISTRAR

rd