```
ITEM NO.7+58(4,5,6,7)
```

COURT NO.3

SECTION IX

SUPREME COURT O F INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A.No.2 In Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2012 (CC 20988/2012)

(From the judgement and order dated 31/03/2012 in WP No.5351/2005, of The HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY)

PUNE MUNICIPAL CORP.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

ERUCH K HOOSHANGI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for dismissal of SLP and office report) (For directions and office report)

(For directions and office report)

(For directions and office report)

(For directions and office report)

Date: 10/05/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RANJANA PRAKASH DESAI

For Petitioner(s) Mrs.Jayashree Wad, Adv. In I.A.No.2 in Mr.Ashish Wad, Adv. CC 20988 & 21022/12 Mrs.Tamil Wad, Adv.

Mr.Himanshu Gupta, Adv.

In I.A.No.3 In Mr.Himanshu Gupta, CC 20181/12 Mr.Shivaji M.Jadhav, Adv.

In I.A.No.3 In Mr.Himanshu Gupta, Mr.Shivaji M.Jadhav, Adv. In I.A.No.3 In Mr.Himanshu Gupta, CC 20334/12 Mr.Shivaji M.Jadhav, Adv. Mr.Himanshu Gupta, Adv.

In I.A.No.2 In Mr.Himansin I. GC 17034/12 Mr.Shivaji M.Jadhav, Adv. Mr. Himanshu Gupta, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.Shyam Divan, Sr.Adv. In I.A.No.2 in Mr.Shivaji M.Jadhav, Adv.

CC 21022/12 Mr.Rahul Jain, Adv.

In I.A.No.2 In Mr.Abhisnek Singin,
CC 17034/12 Mr. Venkita Subramoniam T.R., Adv.

In I.A.No.3 In Mr.Anish K. Mr. Sunil Kumar Verma, Adv. Mr.Anish R.Shah, Adv.

In I.A.No.3 In Mr.Anish K. Mr. Sunil Kumar Verma, Adv. Mr.Anish R.Shah, Adv.

In I.A.No.2 In Mr. Venkita Subramoniam T.R., Adv. CC 20988/12 Mr.Rahat Bansal, Adv.

> UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following ORDER

Delay condoned.

This is a True Copy of the court records online. Authenticated @ https://eCourtsIndia.com/cnr/SCIN010350922012/truecopy/order-1.pdf

These applications have been filed for disposal of the special leave petitions in terms of judgment dated 01.04.2013 of the three Judge Bench in C.A.No.2733 of 2013 - Shrirampur Municipal Council, Shrirampur vs. Satyabhamabai Bhimaji Dawkher and others and connected matters.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners fairly stated that the issue raised in the special leave petitions is squarely covered by the judgment of the three Judge Bench.

In view of the above, the applications are allowed and the special leave petitions are dismissed. The detailed reasons recorded in the judgment in Shrirampur Municipal Council, Shrirampur vs. Satyabhamabai Bhimaji Dawkher and others (supra) shall be read as part of this order.

(Satish K.Yadav) Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora) Court Master