```
V5SLP 14314/2014
  ITEM NO.40
                             COURT NO.2
                                                       SECTION XI
                  SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
                          RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
  Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.14314/2014
  (Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 11/04/2014
  in WP No. 65085/2012
                              passed by the High Court of
                                                                         Judicature
  Allahabad)
  DHIRENDER SHARMA & ORS.
                                                      Petitioner(s)
                                    VERSUS
 EMERALD COURT OWNER RES.WEL.ASSOC.& ORS.
                                                      Respondent(s)
  (With appln. (s) for directions and impleadment and interim relief
  and office report)
  WITH S.L.P.(C) No.21035/2014
  (With appln.(s) for directions and Office Report)
  S.L.P.(C) No.31117/2014
  S.L.P.(C) No.11959/2014
  (With appln.(s) for impleadment and permission to submit additional
  document(s) and office report)
  S.L.P.(C) No.14262/2014
  (With interim relief and office report)
  S.L.P.(C) No.12470/2014
  (With appln.(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and interim relief
  and office report)
  S.L.P.(C) No.12947/2015
 (With office report)
  S.L.P.(C) No.12948/2015
  (With interim relief and office report)
  Date: 27/03/2017 These petitions were called on for hearing today.
 CORAM :
            HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA
            HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR
  Mr. P.S. Narasimha, ASG (A.C.)
  For Petitioner(s) Dr. Rajeev Dhawan, Sr. Adv.
                    Mr. T. V. S. Raghavendra Sreyas, AOR
  Ms. Gayatri Gulati Sreyas, Adv.
SLP 14314/2014
2
SLP 21035/14 &
SLP 31117/14
Ms. Fauzia Shak
Mr. Ujjwal Sing
Mr. Mojahid Kan
 SLP 21035/14 & Mr. Huzefa Ahmadi, Sr. Adv.
  SLP 31117/14
                         Mr. M. Shoeb Alam, AOR
 Ms. Fauzia Shakil, Adv.
 Mr. Ujjwal Singh, Adv.
 Mr. Mojahid Karim Khan, Adv.
  SLP 11959/14 Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, Sr. Adv.
  Mr. A.S. Chandhiok, Sr. Adv.
                    Mr. R. Chandrachud, AOR
  Mr. Nitin Thukral, Adv.
  Mr. Rajnish Singh, Adv.
  Mr. Keshav Mohan, Adv.
  SLP 14262/14
                       Mr. Somiran Sharma, AOR
  SLP 12470/14 Mr. Dushyant Dave, Sr. Adv.
                   Mr. Ravindra Kumar, AOR
                       Mr. Ashish Rana, AOR
  SLP 12947/15
  SLP 12948/15
                         Mr. Shakil Ahmed Syed, AOR
  Mohd. Parvez Dabas, Adv.
  Uzmi Jameel Husain, Adv.
  For Respondent(s) Mr. Abraham Mathews, Adv.
                   Ms. Mahima Gupta, AOR
                    Mr. Amit Anand Tiwari, AOR
 Mr. Suchit Mohanty, Adv.
                    Mr. Anupam Lal Das, AOR
  Mr. P.N. Misra, Sr. Adv.
 Ms. Alka Sinha, Adv.
Ms. Alka Sinha, Adv.
Mr.
Mr. S.A. Haseeb, Adv.
Mr. M. Rambabu, Adv.
Mr.
                    Mr. Anuvrat Sharma, AOR
                    Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, AOR
```

```
Mr. Jayant Bhusan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Milin
                      Mr. Milind Kumar, AOR
                       Mr. P. K. Jain, AOR
  Mr. Saurabh Jain, Adv.
  Mr. P.K. Goswami, Adv.
  Mr. S.P. Singh Rathore, Adv.
  Mr. Ashok K. Sharma, Adv.
  Mr. Uday Prakash Yadav, Adv.
  Mr. H. Arjun, Adv.
  Mrs. Anuradha Soni Verma, Adv.
SLP 14314/2014

3
Mr. Akhilesh Kr. Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Rajeev S.
Mr. Aditya Kr. Choudhary, Adv.
Mr. Ravindra
Mr. Ravi Prai
                       Mr. Rajeev Singh, AOR
                       Mr. Ravindra Kumar, AOR
                       Mr. Ravi Prakash Mehrotra, AOR
                       Mr. Shantanu Krishna, AOR
  Mr. Faisal Sherwani, Adv.
  Ms. Babita Kushwaha, Adv.
  Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, Sr. Adv.
  Mr. A.S. Chandhiok, Sr. Adv.
                       Mr. R. Chandrachud, AOR
  Mr. Nitin Thukral, Adv.
  Mr. Rajnish Singh, Adv.
  Mr. Keshav Mohan, Adv.
  Mr. Vinay Garg, AOR
  Mr. Tanmaya Agarwal, Adv.
  Mr. Deepam Garg, Adv.
  Ms. Bina Gupta, AOR
  Mr. Tarun Gupta, AOR
  Mr. Vishwa Pal Singh, AOR
                        Mr. Gaurav Kejriwal, AOR
               UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
                                     ORDER
  This
         Court on 11 th
  January, 2017, after noting the submissions of learned counsel for the parties, had passed
  the following order:-
  ⬠S It is submitted by learned counsel
                                                              appearing
       the newly impleaded parties that the
  for
  benefits that have been extended to the
  investors vide order dated 06.09.2016
  also be extended to them. Having heard learned
  counsel for the petitioner in S.L.P.(C)
  No.11959 of 2014, and regard being had to
  principle of parity, we direct to extend the
  same benefit to the newly impleaded parties as
  well as to all the persons who have booked
  SLP 14314/2014
  their flats. Be it noted that we are passing this order as we do not want this Court to flooded with application for impleadment to
                                                           Court to be
  flooded with application for impleadment extend them the same benefit. All the persons who have booked their flats shall be to this benefit if an application is
                                             impleadment
                                                       be
                                                              entitled
                                                        is
                                                             given
  the developer.⬠\235
      it noted, in pursuance of the
                                                        order passed
  that day, a sum of Rs.5,00,00,000/- (Rupees five crores only)
  has already been deposited. The amount so deposited shall be kept in a short term fixed deposit in the UCO
                                                                       UCO
                                                                                Bank,
kept in a short term fixed deposit in the UC Court Compound, New Delhi, so that interest can be accrued on the same.

At this juncture, it is submitted by the learn counsel appearing for some of the petitioners are
                                                                    learned
                                                                       and
                                                                                the
```

impleaded parties that the amount has not yet been refunded, though they have made applications before the developer. Dr. Rajeev Dhawan and Mr. Gopal Subramanian, learned senior counsel appearing for the developers have submitted, on instructions, that if the said applications are given to Mr. Keshav Mohan, learned counsel, the said applications shall be dealt with within four weeks hence and the principal amount shall be refunded. When we direct that principa amount shall be refunded, we mean that the quantum of interest shall be determined at a later stage. As far as the justifiability of the order of the High Court is concerned, the same shall be adverted to considering the report of the National Buildings Construction Corporation Ltd. (NBCC), the plans sanctioned by the NOIDA authority and the contentions raised by Mr. Jayant Bhushan, learned senior counsel appearing for the writ petitioner before the High Court.

SLP 14314/2014

directed by us has been paid, within seven weeks from today. Learned counsel appearing for the parties are at liberty to file their objections/reply to the report submitted by the NBCC.

Let the matter be listed for final hearing on 9 th

August, 2017. (Chetan Kumar)

Court Master (Shakti Parkash Sharma)

Court Master