

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
INHERENT JURISDICTION

Review Petition (Civil) No _____ of 2023

(D No 34047 of 2023)

in

Special Leave Petition (Civil) No 8325 of 2023

S Venkatesh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India and Anr

...Respondent(s)

O R D E R

- 1 Application for listing the review petition in open Court is rejected.
- 2 Delay condoned.
- 3 Having perused the review petition, there is no error apparent on the face of the record. No case for review under Order XLVII Rule 1 of the Supreme Court Rules 2013 has been established. The review petition is, therefore, dismissed.

.....CJI.
[Dr Dhananjaya Y Chandrachud]

.....J.
[Pamidighantam Sri Narasimha]

.....J.
[Manoj Misra]

**New Delhi;
September 19, 2024
-S-**

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

**REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 34047/2023 in
SLP(C) No. 8325/2023**

S. VENKATESH**Petitioner(s)****VERSUS****UNION OF INDIA & ANR.****Respondent(s)**

**(WITH IA No. 191757/2023 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING REVIEW
PETITION, IA No. 166107/2023 - ORAL HEARING, IA No. 166108/2023 -
PERMISSION TO APPEAR AND ARGUE IN PERSON)**

Date : 19-09-2024 This petition was circulated today.

CORAM :

**HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ MISRA**

By Circulation

**UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R**

- 1 Application for listing the review petition in open Court is rejected.
- 2 Delay condoned.
- 3 The review petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.
- 4 Pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

**(SANJAY KUMAR-I)
ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR**

**(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

(Signed order is placed on the file)