

ITEM NO.82

COURT NO.1

SECTION PIL

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I N D I A

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 931/2013

VINEET DHANDA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

U.O.I & ORS

Respondent(s)

(With appln. (s) for directions and exemption from filing O.T.
and office report)

Date :27/02/2015 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRIFor Petitioner(s) Dr. J.P. Dhanda, Adv.
Ms. Raj Rani Dhanda, Adv.
Mr. Vineet Dhanda, Adv.For Respondent(s) Dr. Abhishek Atrey, Adv.
For Uttarakhand Mr. Prateek Dwivedi, Adv.
Mr. Sumit Rajora, Adv.West Bengal Mr. Anip Sachthey, Adv.
Mr. Mohit Paul, Adv.Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, Adv.
Ms. Charudatta Mahindrakar, Adv.
Mr. A. Selvin Raja, Adv.For Manipur Mr. Sapam Biswajit Meitei, Adv.
Mr. Z.H. Isaac Haiding, Adv.
Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, Adv.For J & K Mr. Ashok Mathur, Adv.
Ms. Ammu Suresh, Adv.Signature Not Verified
For Nagaland
Digitally signed by

Ms. K Enatoli Sema, Adv.

Charanjeet Kaur
Date: 2015.02.28
13:48:18 IST

Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.

Reason:

Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Adv.

For Chhattisgarh Mr. C.D. Singh, Adv.
Ms. Sakshi Kakkar, Adv.

2

For Madhya Pradesh Mr. C.D. Singh, Adv.
Ms. Damini, Adv.Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.
Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.
Ms. Rashmi Srivastava, Adv.

Jharkhand Mr. Krishnanand Pandeya, Adv.

For Punjab	Mr. Ashok Aggarwal, Adv. Gen. Sanchar Anand, AAG Mr. Kuldip Singh, Adv.
Madhya Pradesh	Mr. Naven Sharma, Adv. Ms. Swati Bhushan Sharma, Adv. Mr. Mishra Saurabh, Adv.
For Tamil Nadu	Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, Adv. Mr. A. Santha Kumaran, Adv. Ms. S. Janani, Adv.
For Mizoram	Mr. Pragyan Sharma, Adv. Mr. Heshu Kayina, Adv. Mr. P.V. Yogeswaran, Adv.
For U.P.	Mr. Ravi Prakash Mehrotra, Adv. Mr. Vibhu Tiwari, Adv. Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh, Adv. Mr. Samir Ali Khan, Adv.
For Sikkim	Mr. A. Mariarputham, Adv. Gen. Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv. Mr. Yusuf Khan, Adv. Mr. K Vijay Kumar, Adv. for M/s Arputham Aruna & Co., Adv.
For Assam	Ms. Vartika Sahay, Adv. for M/s Corporate Law Group, Adv. Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv. Ms. JPuja Singh, Adv. Ms. Liz Mathew, Adv. Mr. M.I. Philip, Adv.
For Himachal Pradesh	Mr. Suryanaryana Singh, AAG Ms. Pragati Neekhara, Adv.
	3
	Mr. Sudhanshu Saran, Adv. Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.
Rajasthan	Mr. S.S. Shamschery, Adv. Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv. Mr. Sandeep Singh, Adv. Mr. Varun Punia, Adv. Ms. Ruchi Kohli, Adv.
Karnataka	Mr. V.N. raghupathy, Adv. Mr. Lagnesh Mishra, Adv. Mr. Parikshit P Angadi, Adv.
For Goa	Mr. Annirudh Sharma, Adv. Ms. Bansuri Swaraj, Adv. Mr. Nirnimesh Dube, Adv.
UOI	Mr. P.S. Narasimha, ASG Ms. B. Sunita Rao, Adv. Ms. Neelam Chand, Adv. Mr. Sudhanshu Saran, Adv. Mr. R.R. Rajesh, Adv. Mr. Sridhar Potaraju, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Four weeks' time is granted finally to the Union of India, Department of Archaeology (Respondent No. 2), States of Andhra Pradesh, Goa, Himachal, Jammu and Kashmir, Karnataka, Maharashtra, Orissa, Rajasthan, Sikkim, Uttar Pradesh, Delhi to file their respective counter affidavits.

The Union of India (respondent No. 1) is granted one week's time to file counter affidavit.

If for any reason the counter affidavits are not filed within the time granted by us, a serious view will be taken.

[Charanjeet Kaur]
Court Master

[Vinod Kulvi]
Asstt. Registrar