

ITEM NO.1701 IN Court 6 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA 129896/2021 in Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C)
No(s). 30785-30786/2012

THITTAKAVI ANANTHA PADMANABHAMOORTHY AND ORS. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

C. BALAKRSHNAN(D) THRU LRS AND ORS. & ORS. Respondent(s)

(ONLY SLP(C) NO. 30785-30786/2012 IS TO BE LISTED BEFORE HON'BLE JUDGE (IN CHAMBER)

IA No. 129896/2021 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION)

Date : 16-03-2022 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH
[IN CHAMBER]

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Vijay Kumar, AOR

Mr. S. Hariharan, Adv.
Ms. Jaikriti S. Jadeja, AOR
Ms. Prapti Allagh, Adv.

Mr. Sriram P., AOR
Mr. M.S. Vishnu Shankar, Adv.
Ms. Athira G Nair, Adv.
Mr. Mukund P Unny, Adv.
Mr. Sreenath S., Adv.
Ms. Prerna Robin, Adv.
Ms. Muskaan Garg, Adv.
Mohd. Shahrukh Ali, Adv.
Mr. Pawan Kr. Dabas, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. G. Balaji, AOR

Mrs. Prabha Swami, AOR

Mr. Rohit K. Singh, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Counsel for the petitioners submits that the

defect as pointed out by the Registry is not factually correct as the publication both in "The Hindu" (English language) and "Daily Thanthi" (Tamil language) would indicate the case number of this Court.

After going through the said public notices, this Court is satisfied that sufficient compliance is made and the objections raised by the Registry are merely technical. The object of the notices is to inform the opposite party about the pendency of the proceedings before this Court which has been duly complied with, in light of the indication of the cause title mentioning the names of the respondents in the publication.

In this view of the matter, the Registry is directed to proceed further on the premise that there is no defect in publication.

[CHARANJEET KAUR]
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

[POONAM VAID]
COURT MASTER (NSH)