

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

**SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRIMINAL) Diary No(s). 33034/2024**

**(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 20-12-2023 in CRP No. 7801/2023 20-12-2023 in CRP No. 8718/2021 passed by the High Court Of Karnataka At Bengaluru)**

**STATE BY POST COMMANDER /RPF I.O. INSPECTOR/  
RAILWAY PROTECTION FORCE (RPF) & ANR.**

**Petitioner(s)**

**VERSUS**

**ROOPAKALA M. @ ROOPA SHASHIDHAR**

**Respondent(s)**

**(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.205062/2024-CONDONATION OF DELAY  
IN FILING )**

**Date : 17-09-2024 This petition was called on for hearing today.**

**CORAM :**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HRISHIKESH ROY  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.V.N. BHATTI**

**For Petitioner(s)** Mr. Amrish Kumar, AOR  
Mrs. Aishwarya Bhati, A.S.G.  
Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv.  
Ms. Sanjana Saddy, Adv.  
Ms. Mani Munjal, Adv.  
Mr. Pratyush Srivastava, Adv.  
Mr. Nring Chamwibo Zeliang, Adv.

**For Respondent(s)**

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R**

**Delay condoned.**

**Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, learned Additional Solicitor General  
appearing for the petitioner(s) would firstly refer to the  
Notification S.O. 593(E) dated 17.05.2024, whereunder all the  
officer of and above the rank of Assistant Sub-inspector in**

the Railway Protection Force, were conferred the power of Officer authorized, for the purpose of the Railway Act. The counsel then points out that the respondent was leading a group of squatters which blocked the railway track and operation of train service was disrupted for over 60 minutes. Therefore, it is argued that the interference by the High Court by quashing the Complaint against the respondent(s), was totally unmerited.

Issue notice, returnable in four weeks.

(DEEPAK JOSHI)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(KAMLESH RAWAT)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR