REVISED

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.9

SECTION XV

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 12335/2016

MANOJ KUMAR ACHARYA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF RAJASTHAN AND ORS. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(Only CA No. 12336 of 2016 is listed alongwith applications for Impleadment.)

WITH

C.A. No. 12336/2016 (XV)

(IA

FOR ON IA 2/2015

FOR ON IA 3/2015

FOR ON IA 4/2016

FOR ON IA 5/2016

FOR ON IA 6/2016

FOR ON IA 7/2016

FOR ON IA 8/2017

FOR [IA FOR IMPLEADMENT] ON IA 24533/2017

FOR [I A FOR IMPLEADMENT] ON IA 29110/2017

FOR impleading party ON IA 103523/2017)

Date: 27-11-2017 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL [IN CHAMBERS]

For Appellant(s) Mr. M. M. Kashyap, AOR

Mr. Sarad Kumar Singhania, AOR

Ms. Heena Khan, Adv.

Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, AOR (Not present)

For Respondent(s) Ms. Ruchi Kohli, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R

I.A. Nos.2 to 6 of 2016 & 24533 OF 2017 in C.A. No.12336/2016:

2

On a query being posed to learned counsel for the applicants as to why these applicants are seeking to join in the present petition, learned counsel for the applicants submitted that they were all petitioners before the High Court while some filed the special leave petition. However, on perusal of the file, it is found otherwise. Now the counsel states that some were petitioners before the High Court and others were not.

There should be proper representation before this Court of the facts. It should be drawn out as to which of the petitioners were also the petitioners before the Court as otherwise, there is no need to entertain the application in view of the facts which have been stated in the application.

List after four weeks.

In I.A. No.7/2016, I.A.NO.8/2017, I.A. No.29110/2017 and I.A. No.103523/2017 in C.A. C.A. No. 12336/2016

List the matters after four weeks.

(SWETA DHYANI)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(TAPAN KUMAR CHAKRABORTY)
BRANCH OFFICER

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 12335/2016

MANOJ KUMAR ACHARYA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF RAJASTHAN AND ORS. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(Only CA No. 12336 of 2016 is listed alongwith applications for Impleadment.)

WITH

C.A. No. 12336/2016 (XV)

(IA

FOR ON IA 2/2015

FOR ON IA 3/2015

FOR ON IA 4/2016

FOR ON IA 5/2016

FOR ON IA 6/2016

FOR ON IA 7/2016

FOR ON IA 8/2017

FOR [IA FOR IMPLEADMENT] ON IA 24533/2017

[I A FOR IMPLEADMENT] ON IA 29110/2017 FOR

FOR impleading party ON IA 103523/2017)

Date: 27-11-2017 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL [IN CHAMBERS]

For Appellant(s) Mr. M. M. Kashyap, AOR

Mr. Sarad Kumar Singhania, AOR

Ms. Heena Khan, Adv.

Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, AOR (Not present)

For Respondent(s) Ms. Ruchi Kohli, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following ORDER

I.A. Nos.2 to 6 of 2016 in C.A. No.12336/2016:

On a query being posed to learned counsel for the

4

applicants as to why these applicants are seeking to join in the present petition, learned counsel for the applicants submitted that they were all petitioners before the High Court while some filed the special leave petition. However, on perusal of the file, it is found otherwise. Now the counsel states that some were petitioners before the High Court and others were not.

There should be proper representation before this Court of the facts. It should be drawn out as to which of the petitioners were also the petitioners before the Court as otherwise, there is no need to entertain the application in view of the facts which have been stated in the application.

List after four weeks.

In I.A. No.8, I.A. No.29110/2017 and I.A. No.103523/2017 in C.A.

C.A. No. 12336/2016

List the matters after four weeks.

(SWETA DHYANI) SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT (TAPAN KUMAR CHAKRABORTY)
BRANCH OFFICER