¬Item NO.4 1 REGISTRAR COURT. 2 SECTION IVB SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. M V RAMESH Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 1059/2011 GRAM PANCHAYAT OF VIL.UDAIPUR Petitioner(s) **VERSUS** UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondent(s) (with appln. (s) for c/delay in filing substitution appln. and setting aside an abatement and substitution of deceased respondent and office report) WITH SLP(C) No. 34114/2011 Interim Relief and Office Report) SLP(C) No. 6505/2012 Interim Relief and Office Report) SLP(C) No. 8111/2013 Interim Relief and Office Report) Date: 19/07/2016 These petitions were called on for hearing today. For Petitioner(s) Ms. Tanuj Bhagga Sharma, Adv. Mr. Rajinder Mathur, Adv. Mr. Rahul Gupta, Adv. Ms. Divya Sunderrajan,Adv. Mr. Ankur Mittal, Adv. Mr. Jasbir Singh Malik, Adv. Ms. Usha Nandini. V, Adv. For Respondent(s) Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv. Mr. Yash Pal Dhingra, Adv. Item NO.4 2 Mr. N.K. Chauhan, Adv. Mr. Praveen Swarup, Adv. Mr. Balbir Singh Gupta, Adv. Mr. Abhay Kumar, Adv. Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv. Mr. Piyush Hans, Adv. Dr. Monika Gusain, Adv. Mr. Jai Wadhwa, Adv. Mr. Arun Bhardwaj, Adv. Mr. Vishwapal Singh, Adv. Mr. Hemant Gupta, Adv. Mr. Keshav Kumar, Adv. UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following ORDER SLP(C) No. 1059/2011 Await the return of the service of notice already issued the unserved respondents. SLP(C) No. 34114/2011 The office report indicates that s ervice of notice is complete

on the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 but no one has entered appearance on their behalf. R espondent Nos. 3 to 8 have failed to file counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the Viewed, in that context, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court, under the rules.

SLP(C) No. 6505/2012

Respondent Nos. 1 and 4 have already filed the counter affidavit. Service of notice is complete on the respondent Nos. and 3 but no one has entered appearance on their behalf. Viewed the matter shall be processed for listing before thus, the Hon'ble thus, the Court under the NO.4 3 Court under the rules.

SLP(C) No. 8111/2013 Respondent No. 4 has already filed the counter affidavit. S ervice of notice is complete on the respondent Nos. 1, 2 , 5 and 6 $\,$ but no one has entered appearance on their behalf. The Ld.Counsel for the respondent No.3 has failed to cure the defects found in the vakalatnama despite opportunities. that context, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for further future directions. Await orders. List thereafter.

(M V RAMESH) Registrar