REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION XIV

### SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

#### BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. M V RAMESH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 29733-29736/2015

STATE OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AND ORS.

Petitioner(s)

**VERSUS** 

HUKMI RAM AND ORS. ETC. ETC. Respondent(s) (with appln. (s) for exemption from filing O.T. and office report)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 30649-30652/2015 Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 1646/2016 Office Report)

Date: 02/08/2016 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Pragati Neekhra, Adv.

Mr. B. S. Banthia, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Balraj Dewan, Adv.

Mr. Raj Kishor Choudhary, Adv.

Mr. Suryanaryana Singh, Adv.

Ms. Pragati Neekhra, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R

## SLP(C) No. 29735/2015

The matter has already been directed to be listed before the Hon'ble Court. Therefore, no further orders are required to be passed in it.

### SLP(C) No. 30649-30652/2015

Service is already complete. Viewed thus, the matters shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

### SLP(C) No. 29733/2015

Ld. Counsel for the petitioners has failed to take fresh steps for the service of notice to the respondent Nos. 2, 3 and 4 despite opportunities. Viewed in that context, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for further future directions. Await orders. List thereafter.

#### SLP(C) No. 29734/2015

Ld. Counsel for the petitioners has failed to take fresh steps for the service of notice to the respondent Nos. 4, 7 and 8 despite opportunities. Viewed in that context, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for further future directions. Await orders. List thereafter.

### SLP(C) No. 29736/2015

Ld. Counsel for the petitioners has failed to take fresh steps for the service of notice to the respondent Nos. 2 and 3 despite opportunities. Viewed in that context, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for further future directions. Await orders. List thereafter.

# SLP(C) No. 1646/2016

The Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos.1 to 3 have failed to file the counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules.

Fresh steps for the service of notice by usual mode to the

respondent No. 4 shall be taken by the learned counsel for the petitioners within a period of four weeks.

List again on 14.9.2016.

(M V RAMESH)

Registrar