www.ecourtsindia.com

)

s)

SUPREMECOURTOF RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

INDIA

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s).

10190/2010

LINGA VIJAYAN & ORS.

Appellant(s

VERSUS

RAMACHANDRA MARTHANDAM

Respondent (

Date: 28/04/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Sripradha Krishnan, Adv. Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Lakshmi Raman Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following ORDER

The office report indicates that the Ld. Counsel

for the

> appellants and the Ld. Counsel for the respondent have failed to file the statement of case, although they have been notified to do so by letter dated 1.11.2011 of the Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of

Supreme Court Rules, 2013 provides that if the appellant does

not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in

sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant

containing chronology of events as the list of dates/synopsis filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by

Madhu Grover

leave to appeal (SLP)/Appeal, as statement of case, and does not

Date: 2015.04.29 13:04:57 CEST

Reason:

desire to file any further statement of case.

The order fu

has

rther

provides that if the respondent who has entered appearance does not Item No.96

file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub

file a statement of case within the time, as proven Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same. Therefore, in view of the rule cited above the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

This is a True Copy of the court records online. Authenticated @ https://eCourtsIndia.com/cnr/SCINO the rule position