IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (CRIMINAL) NO. 116 OF 2010

ANUJ KUMAR JAIN & ANR PETITONERS

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ANR

RESPONDENTS

WITH

VERSUS

WRIT PETITION (CRIMINAL) NO. 117 OF 2010

ANUJ KUMAR JAIN

PETITONER

UNION OF INDIA & ANR

RESPONDENTS

WRIT PETITION (CRIMINAL) NO. 118 OF 2010

MANJU JAIN

PETITONER

UNION OF INDIA & ANR

RESPONDENTS

ORDER

VERSUS

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that these writ petitions have become infructuous.

The writ petitions are dismissed as having become infructous.

(A.K. PATNAIK)

NEW DELHI,

OCTOBER 08, 2013

ITEM NO.34 COUF

34 COURT NO.6 SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CRL.) NO(s). 116 OF 2010

ANUJ KUMAR JAIN & ANR Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

Respondent(s)

UNION OF INDIA & ANR Re (With appln(s) for stay of arrest and office report)

WITH

W.P.(Crl.) No. 117 of 2010

(With appln(s) for stay of arrest and office report)

W.P.(Crl.) No. 118 of 2010

(With appln(s) for stay of arrest and office report)

Date: 08/10/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Akshay Verma, Adv. Mr. Praveen Swarup, Adv.

Ms. Sushma Verma, Adv. Mr. Virat Gupta, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. L. Nageswar Rao, ASG

Mr. K. Swami, Adv. Mr. B.K. Prasad, Adv.

Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following O R D E R $\,$

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that these writ petitions have become infructuous.

The writ petitions are dismissed as having become infructous.

(G. SUDHAKARA RAO) COURT MASTER (SHARDA KAPOOR)

COURT MASTER

(SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE)