

S U P R E M E      C O U R T   O F      I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).26925/2011

RAM KRISHAN GROVER AND ORS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief )

WITH

SLP(C) NO.27346/2011

SLP(C) NO.28107/2011

SLP(C) NO.30744/2011

SLP(C) NO.31284/2011

Date: 08/05/2012      This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr Rajesh Kumar, Adv.  
Ms. Garima Prashad, Adv.  
Mr Danish Zubair Khan, Adv.  
Mr Sumit Kumar, Adv.  
Mr Sanjai Kumar Pathak, Adv.  
Mr Subhasish Bhowmick, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, Adv.  
Mr. Yash Pal Dhingra ,Adv  
Mr. Jatinder Kumar Bhatia ,Adv  
Mr. Subhasish Bhowmick ,Adv  
Mr. Deepak Goel ,Adv  
Mrs Kamaldeep Gulati, Adv.  
Ms Reeta Dewan Puri, Adv.  
Mr P.N.Puri, Adv.  
Mr H.S.Awasthi, Adv.  
Mr Ajit Kumar Pande, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

SLP(C) NO.27346/2011

Deleted.

-2-

Item No.14

REMAINING MATTERS

The ld. Advocate for the petitioner in SLP(C) no. 26925 and 28107 of 2011 wants some more time to file outcome of dasti service. They may do so on or before the next date. They may

also take appropriate steps for unserved respondents.

In SLP(C) No. 31284/2011, the ld. Advocate for the petitioner has filed proof of service with affidavit on 7.5.2012. Registry to take notice of contents of such affidavit for different respondents and to make note of respondents who are properly served as disclosed in such affidavit.

Petitioner has also filed an application for permitting them to confirm service upon several respondents through their counsel in the Trial Court stating that several respondents are unserved but they are present or represented through their Advocate in different litigations before different Courts, details of which is disclosed by them in the pleadings. If it is so, petitioner is permitted to serve dasti notice as prayed for in their application filed on 7.5.2012. However, while filing proof of service through such mode, petitioner has to disclose the full and further details of such pending litigation through which notice upon respondent Nos. 12, 13, 21, 23, 24 and 26 are served with full address of such respondents as disclosed in those litigations and details of Advocates through whom such notices are served. Though petitioner has prayed to serve notice on respondent no. 20, through such mode, the ld. Advocate-on-record, Mr Anil Kumar Mishra accepts notice for such respondent and he states that he has already filed vakalatnama in the month of

-3-

Item No.14

February, 2012.

So far as application for deleting some of the respondents Nos. 5 to 11, 32 and 33 are concerned, list such application before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers.

Served respondents in all concerned SLPs may file counter affidavit.

List again on 23.7.2012.

(S.G. SHAH)

hj

REGISTRAR