## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA INHERENT JURISDICTION

# CURATIVE PETITION (CRL.) NO.89 OF 2016 IN REVIEW PETITION (CRL.) NO.43 OF 2016 IN SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRL.) NO.6375 OF 2015

MRIDUL ... Petitioner(s)

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA ... Respondent(s)

### ORDER

Having gone through the Curative Petition and the relevant documents, in our considered opinion, no case is made out within the parameters indicated in the decision of this Court in *Rupa Ashok Hurra*Vs. *Ashok Hurra & Anr.*, reported in 2002 (4) SCC 388.

The Curative Petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

[JAGDISH	CJI. SINGH KHEHAR]
	J. [DIPAK MISRA]
	J. CHELAMESWAR]
	J. IANDRA GHOSE]
•••••	J.

New Delhi; 18<sup>th</sup> January, 2017.

CHAMBER MATTER SECTION IIB

### SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CURATIVE PETITION (CRL.) NO.89/2016 In RREVIEW PETITION (CRL.) NO.43/2016 IN SLP(CRL.) NO.6375/2015

MRIDUL Petitioner(s)

**VERSUS** 

STATE OF HARYANA (With office report)

Respondent(s)

Date: 18/01/2017 This petition was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K. AGRAWAL

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following O R D E R

The curative petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Sarita Purohit)
Court Master

(Renuka Sadana) Assistant Registrar

(Signed order is placed on the file)