

ITEM NO.111

COURT NO.2

SECTION XV

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A**  
**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

Civil Appeal No(s). 6572/2022

**SURENDRA RAJ KUMARI**

**Appellant(s)**

**VERSUS**

**THE STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ORS.**

**Respondent(s)**

**([ IN THE MONTH OF JAN, 2023 ] )**

**Date : 19-01-2023 This appeal was called on for hearing today.**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY S. OKA  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE B. V. NAGARATHNA**

**For Appellant(s) Mr. R. P. Gupta, AOR**

**For Respondent(s) Mr. Rahul Kumar, Adv.  
Ms. Kavita Bhardwaj, Adv.  
Mr. Vishesh Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Shubham Sethi, Adv.  
Mr. Arpit Parkash, Adv.  
Mr. Vikalp Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Milind Kumar, AOR**

**Mr. Gp. Capt. Karan Singh Bhati, AOR  
Mr. Shiv Autar Singh Sengar, Adv.  
Mr. Aditya Pratap Singh Chauhan, Adv.  
Mr. Manvendra Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Dashrath Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Abhijeet Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Aishwary Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Mayank Chauhan, Adv.**

**Mr. Ajay Choudhary, AOR**

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R**

**The appeal stands dismissed in terms of the signed order.**

**Pending application, if any, stands disposed of.**

**(RASHMI DHYANI PANT)  
COURT MASTER**

**(POONAM VAID)  
COURT MASTER**

**(signed order is placed on the file)**

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Civil Appeal No(s). 6572/2022

SURENDRA RAJ KUMARI

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

On hearing learned counsel for parties we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order.

We however make it clear that the issue of the validity of the demand by the Bank dated 08.4.2011 is not something we have looked into in these proceedings.

The appeal stands dismissed in terms aforesaid.

.....J.  
(SANJAY KISHAN KAUL)

.....J.  
(ABHAY S. OKA)

.....J.  
(B. V. NAGARATHNA)

NEW DELHI  
19<sup>th</sup> January, 2023