```
,WREVISED
ITEM NO.24
```

COURT NO.1 SECTION XIIA SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A.No.2/2016 in

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).23696/2016 (Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 28/07/2016 in WP(PIL)No.6/2016 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Hyderabad For The State Of Telangana And The State Of Andhra Pradesh)

STATE OF TELANGANA REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY Petitioner(s) VERSUS

D. MANOHAR RAO PROFESSOR FOR GENETICS (RETD.)& ORS. Respondent(s) (For further orders, for permission to appoint Vice Chancellors of the Universities under Article 142 of the Constitution of India read with Order LV Rule 6 of Supreme Court Rules, 2013 and office report)

Date: 09/12/2016 This matter was called on for hearing today. CORAM :

HON' BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR HON' BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Mukul Rohta
Mr. P. Vishwanatha Shetty, Sr. Adv.
Mr. P. Venkat Reddy, Adv.
Mr. Prashant Kr. Tyagi, Adv.
For M/s. Venkat Palwai Law Associ
For Respondent(s) Mr. C.U. Singh,
Mr. Subodh Markandey, Sr. Adv.
Mr. B. Adinarayana Rao, Sr. Adv. For Petitioner(s) Mr. Mukul Rohtagi,AG

For M/s. Venkat Palwai Law Associates, Advs.

For Respondent(s) Mr. C.U. Singh, Sr. Adv.

Mr. D. Bharat Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Tadimalla Baskar Gowtham, Adv.

Mr. Vishal Arun, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Amit P. Singh, Adv.

Ms. Surbhi, Adv.

Mr. Tadimala Baskar Gautham, Adv.

Ms. Surbhi, Adv.
Mr. Merusagar Samantaray, Adv.
Ms. Lhingneivah, Adv.
Ms. Viddusshi, Adv.
Mr. D. Bharat Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Tadimala Baskar Gautham, Adv.
1
UGC Mr. Manoj R. Sinha, Adv. For Mr. R.P. Goyal, Adv.

> UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following ORDER

learned counsel for the parties at Heard considerable length.

We had, by order dated 29 th

August, 2016, stayed the

operation of the impugned judgment and order insofar directions contained in para 36- 3(b) and 3(d) were concerned. We had, at the same time, directed that the Vice-Chancellors currently holding office, shall continue pending further orders from this Court or till completion of their tenure, whichever is earlier. had made it clear that with the striking down Nos.7 and 8 referred to in paragraph 36(1) of of impugned judgment, the Governor of the State of Telangana shall continue to exercise the powers of Chancellor the Universities.

The State of Telangana has now filed the present The State of Telangana has now filed the present I.A.No.2/2016, pointing out that out of 11 State universities, 8 universities in Telangana have regular Vice Chancellors while 3 universities are currently looked after by an In-charge arrangement. Regular

```
appointment of Vice Chancellors for these 3 universities
      have yet to be made. The application, therefore, prays for permission to the Government to appoint Vice
      Chancellors for the said three universities.
      Chancellors for the said three universities.

Mr. Rohatgi, learned Attorney General, points out that in terms of the provisions of Andhra Pradesh Universities Act, 1991, a Search Committee, comprising Nominee each from (1) the Executive Council;(2) the
       and (3) the State Government, has already been set up by
       the State Government, which is required to submit a penal
      of three names to the Government in alphabetical order. From among the three persons so recommended, the State
      Government is required to recommend the name of
      person to be appointed as Vice Chancellor of each one of
      the three universities. He submits that since the matter
      is pending in this Court, the process of selection of suitable persons for appointment as Vice Chancellors, in terms of the provisions of the Act afore-mentioned,
      could be allowed to go on and taken to its logical
       conclusion and appointments made, subject to the outcome
       of these proceedings. He further states that although,
      the UGC regulations have not been adopted by the State of
      Telangana, yet, in order to bring fairness and objectivity to the process of selection of suitable
      persons and to allay any apprehension that persons without any academic background may be appointed, the State government is ready to follow by the conditions of eligibility prescribed by the UGC in terms of Regulation
      7.3.0(i).
      In the circumstances, therefore, and keeping in view % \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) 
      the submissions made at Bar, we permit the State
Government to continue with the process of selection of
suitable candidates for appointment as Vice Chancellors
of the three universities, where such appointments are
      due and to make such appointment keeping in view the requirement of the conditions of eligibility, set out in
requirement of the conditions of experiments of the UGC Regulations.

We make it clear that appointments remain subject to the outcome of these process List after winter vacations.

(Sarita Purohit)

Court Master

3
      We make it clear that appointments so made shall
      remain subject to the outcome of these proceedings.
                                                                                                                                                                   (Suman Jain )
                                                                                                                                                                       Court Master
      ITEM NO.24
                                                                                       COURT NO.1
                                                                                                                                                                          SECTION XIIA
                                                           SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
                                                                                   RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
      Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).23696/2016
      (Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 28/07/2016
      in WP(PIL)No.6/2016 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At
      Hyderabad For The State Of Telangana And The State Of Andhra
      Pradesh)
      STATE OF TELANGANA REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY Petitioner(s)
                                                                                                                 VERSUS
      D. MANOHAR RAO PROFESSOR FOR GENETICS (RETD.)& ORS. Respondent(s)
       (With appln.(s) for passing appropriate order or decree under
       article 142 of the constitution and office report)
       (For final disposal)
       WITH
       SLP(C)No.23704/2016
       (With Interim Relief and Office Report)
      Date: 09/12/2016 These petitions were called on for hearing today.
Date: 0
CORAM:
                                       HON' BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
                                       HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR
```

HON' BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD

Mr. P. Vishwanatha Shetty, Sr. Adv. Mr. P. Venkat Reddy, Adv. Mr. Prashant Kr. Tyagi, Adv. For M/s. Venkat Palwai Law Associates, Advs. For Respondent(s) Mr. C.U. Singh, Sr. Adv. Mr. Subodh Markandey, Sr. Adv. Mr. B. Adinarayana Rao, Sr. Adv. Mr. D. Bharat Kumar, Adv. Mr. Tadimalla Baskar Gowtham, Adv. Mr. Vishal Arun, Adv. Mr. Rahul Agarwal, Adv. Mr. Amit P. Singh, Adv. Ms. Surbhi, Adv. Mr. Merusagar Samantaray,Adv. Ms. Lhingneivah, Adv. Ms. Viddusshi, Adv. Mr. D. Bharat Kumar, Adv. Mr. Tadimala Baskar Gautham, Adv. UGC Mr. Manoj R. Sinha, Adv. For Mr. R.P. Goyal, Adv. UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R learned counsel Heard for the parties at considerable length. We had, by order dated 29 th August, 2016, stayed the operation of the impugned judgment and order insofar directions contained in para 36- 3(b) and 3(d) were concerned. We had, at the same time, directed that Vice-Chancellors currently holding office, shall continue pending further orders from this Court or till completion of their tenure, whichever is earlier. had made it clear that with the striking down Nos.7 and 8 referred to in paragraph 36(1) of We of Nos.7 and 8 referred to in paragraph 36(1) impugned judgment, the Governor of the State of Telangana shall continue to exercise the powers of Chathe Universities.

The State of Telangana has now filed the paragraph 3.2 in Telangana have Vice Chancellors while 3 universities are currently to the continue to exercise the powers of the Universities. continue to exercise the powers of Chancellor present I.A.No.2/2016, pointing out that out of 11 State universities, 8 universities in Telangana have regular Chancellors while 3 universities are currently looked after by an In-charge arrangement. Regular appointment of Vice Chancellors for these 3 universities have yet to be made. The application, therefore, prays for permission to the Government to appoint Vice Chancellors for the said three universities. Mr. Rohatgi, learned Attorney General, points out that in terms of the provisions of Andhra Pradesh Universities Act, 1991, a Search Committee, comprising Nominee each from (1) the Executive Council;(2) the UGC; and (3) the State Government, has already been set up by the State Government, which is required to submit a penal of three names to the Government in alphabetical From among the three persons recommended, the so Government is required to recommend the name person to be appointed as Vice Chancellor of each one of the three universities. He submits that since the matter pending in this Court, the process of selection suitable persons for appointment as Vice Chancellors, terms of the provisions of the Act afore-mentioned, could be allowed to go on and taken to its logical conclusion and appointments made, subject to the outcome these proceedings. He further states that although,

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, AG

6

the UGC regulations have not been adopted by the State of Telangana, yet, in order to bring fairness and objectivity to the process of selection of suitable persons and to allay any apprehension that persons without any academic background may be appointed, the State government is ready to follow by the conditions of eligibility prescribed by the UGC in terms of Regulation 7.3.0(i).

In the circumstances, therefore, and keeping in view the submissions made at Bar, we permit the State
Government to continue with the process of selection of
suitable candidates for appointment as Vice Chancellors
of the three universities, where such appointments are
due and to make such appointment keeping in view the requirement of the conditions of eligibility, set out Regulation 7.3.0(i) of the UGC Regulations.

We make it clear that appointments made shall remain subject to the outcome of these proceedings. List after winter vacations.

(Sarita Purohit) Court Master

(Suman Jain) Court Master