SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) 691/2009 (Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 19/12/2008 in ASWP No. 6257/2006 passed by the High Court Of Bombay)

ANIMAL WELFARE BOARD OF INDIA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PEOPLE FOR ELIMINATION OF STRAY TROUBLES. & ORS Respondent(s)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 1627/2009

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 1740/2009

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 11467/2009

(With Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 13004/2009

(With (With appln.(s) for directions and appln.(s) for permission

to file additional documents and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 13772/2012

(With Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 4453/2013

(With appln.(s) for impleadment and Interim Relief and Office

Report)

SLP(C) No. 5899/2013

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 5900/2013

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 17112/2013

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

S.L.P. (C) .../2016 (CC No. 16880/2015)

(With appln.(s) for permission to appear and argue in person and c/delay in filing SLP and impleadment as party respondent and

impleadment as petitioner and Office Report)

W.P.(C) No. 599/2015

(With appln.(s) for directions and directions and impleadment and permission to file additional documents and exemption from filing O.T. and permission to file additional documents and permission to

O.T. and permission to file additional documents and permission to file additional documents and directions and directions and Office Report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC No. 15931/2016

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in refiling SLP and appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

S.L.P.(C).../2016 CC No. 17078/2016

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

S.L.P.(C).../2016 (CC No. 17084/2016)

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

S.L.P.(C).../2016 (CC No. 17110/2016)

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

2

S.L.P.(C).../2016 (CC No. 17146/2016)

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

S.L.P.(C).../2016 (CC No. 17153/2016)

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

S.L.P.(C).../2016 (CC No. 17271/2016)

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

S.L.P.(C).../2016 (CC No. 17289/2016)

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

S.L.P.(C).../2016 (CC No. 17292/2016)

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

S.L.P. (C) .../2016 (CC No. 16985/2016)

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

Date: 17/11/2016 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Hardeep Singh Anand, Adv.

Mr. B. S. Banthia, Adv.

Mr. Sudhanshu S. Choudhari, Adv.

Mr. Shreekant N. Terdal, Adv.

Mr. Anand Grover, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Krishnan Venugopal, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Lorraine Misquith, Adv.

Mr. Shrenidhi Rao, Adv.

Mr. Ajit Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Siddhartha K. Gard, Adv.

Mr. Mayank Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Uday Radhore, Adv.

M/s. Lex Regis Law Offices, Adv.

Ms. Aparna Bhat, Adv.

Ms. Anjali Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Satiq Khan, Adv.

Mr. Balraj Dewan, Adv.

Mr. Anupam Tripathi, Adv.

MR. Vishnu Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Aakanksha Pandit, Adv.

Mr. V. Giri, Sr. Adv.

Mr. C. K. Sasi, Adv.

Mr. Manukrishnan, Adv.

ww.ecourtsindia.com

```
For Respondent(s) Ms. Pinky Anand, ASG
```

Mr. A.K. Panda, Sr. Adv.

Mr.Ajay Singh, Adv.

Ms. Sadhana Sandhu, Adv.

MR. Sanjay Kr. Pathak, Adv.

Mr. G.S. Makkar, Adv.

Mr. Ansh Singh Luthra, Adv.

Ms. Snidha Mehra, Adv.

For Haryana

Mr. Anil Grover, AAG

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, Adv.

Mr. Sandeep Yadav, Adv.

For Puducherry

Mr. R. Venkatramani, Sr. Adv.

Mr. V. G. Pragasam, Adv.

Mr. S. Prabu Ramasubramanian, Adv.

Mrs.Neelam Singh,Adv. Mr. Sameer Singh,Adv.

Mr. Yashraj Singh Bundela, Adv.

Ms. Jasmine Damkewala, Adv.

Mr. Vikramjit Banerjee, Adv.

Mr. P. S. Sudheer, Adv.

Mr. Rishi Maheshwari, Adv.

Ms. Mayuri Nayyar, Adv.

Ms. Shruti Jose, Adv.

For Tamil Nadu

Mr. B. Balaji, Adv.

Mr. Muthuvel Palani, Adv.

MR. A. Arvind Athithan, Adv.

Dr. M. Singhvi, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Anjali Sharma, Adv.

Ms. N.G. Jaya Sinha, Adv.

Ms. Shreya P.Adv.

Ms. Sujeeta Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Suryanarayana Singh, Sr. AAG

Ms. Pragati Neekhra, Adv.

Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.

Ms. Aagam Kaur, Adv.

Ms. Mamata Singh, Adv.

For Punjab

Mr. Sanchar Anand, AAG

Mr. Apoorv Singhal, Adv.

Mr. Rajeev Singhal, Adv.

Mr.Ratnesh Kumar Shukla, AOR

For J& K Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Adv.

> Ms. Mithu Jain, Adv. Ms. Astha Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Aditya Dhawan, Adv. Ms. Kiran Dhawan, Adv.

Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Kunal Chatterji, Adv. Ms. Maitrayee Banerjee, Adv.

Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.

For Manipur Mr. Sapam Biswajit Meitei, Adv.

Ms. B. Khusbansi, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Adv.

For W.B. Mr. Joydeep Mazumdar, Adv.

Mr. Parijat Sinha, Adv.

For Uttarakhand Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg, Adv.

> Mr. Abhishek Garg, Adv. Mr. Dhananjay Garg, Adv. Mr. Deepak Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Bhardwaj, Adv.

Mr. Pahlad Singh Sharma, Adv.

For Sikkim Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.

Mr. Yusuf Khan, Adv.

Mr. Avneesh Arputham, Adv. Ms. Anuradha Aruputham, Adv. M/s Arputham, Aruna & Co., Adv.

Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, Adv.

Mr. Edward Belho, Adv.

Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv. Mr. K.Luikang Michael, Adv.

For U.T. of Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Adv.

Ms. G. Indira, Adv. Andaman & Nicobar Administration

Ms. Anushree Prashit Kapadia, Adv.

For Karnataka

Mr. Parikshit P. Angadi, Adv.

Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, Adv.

For U.T.Chandigarh Mr. Sangram S. Saron, Adv.

Mr. Shree Pal Singh, Adv.

For U.P. Mr. Vishwendra Verma, Adv.

Mr. Narendra Kumar, Adv.

Mr. shiv Mangal Sharma, AAG

Ms. Sikha Sandhu, Adv.

Mr. Puneet Parimar, Adv.

Mr. Shrey Kapoor, Adv.

Ms. Ruchi Kohli, Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Bhatnagar, Adv.

Mr. Amol Chitale, Adv.

Mr. Nirnimesh Dube, Adv.

Mr. Ankur S. Kulkarni, Adv.

MR. Anand Srivastava, Adv.

For M/s. Lex Regis Law Offices, Adv.

Mr. S. Lal Pandey, Adv.

Mr. Shreekant N. Terdal, Adv.

Mr. Sanjiv Sen, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Suresh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Praveen Swarup, Adv.

SDMC Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Mukul Singh, Adv.

Mr. Naveen Kumar, Adv.

For Maharashtra Mr. Mahaling Pandarge, AAG

Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar, Adv.

Mr. Atul Yeshwant Chitale, Sr. Adv.

Mrs. Suchitra Atul Chitale, Adv.

Mr. Pravin Vinayak Naik, Adv.

Ms. Shivangi Khanna, Av.

Ms. Akansha Ghose, Adv.

Mr. E.C. Vidya Sagar, Adv.

Mr. Subhash Chandra Sagar, Adv.

Ms. Jennifer John, Adv.

Mrs.Padma Chaudhary, Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, Adv.

Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. G. Prakash, Adv.

Mr. Sabu Stephan, Respondent-in-person

Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, Adv.

6

Ms. D. Subramanian, Adv.

For Applicant

Mr. Colin Gonsalves, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Sita N. Pal,Adv. Mr. Satya Mitra,Adv.

For Applicant

Mr. V.K.Biju,Adv.

Ms. Ria Sachthey, Adv. Ms. Anjali Chouhan, Adv.

For Applicant

Ms. Vanshaja Shukla, Adv.

MR. N.G. Jaya Sinha, Adv.

Ms. Ambika Nijjar,Adv.

Mr. A.P. Mayee, Adv.

Mr. Hardeep Singh Anand, Adv.

Mr. Ramesh babu M.R., Adv.

Chhattisgarh

Ms. Shashi Juneja, Adv.

Mr. Deepak Goel, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R $\,$

On 4.10.2016, this Court, after referring to the earlier orders, had reproduced the module filed by the Animal Welfare Board. A copy thereof was served on the Union of India. The Union of India has filed its response. After stating certain aspects, the decisions that have been taken by the Union of India read as follows:

Involvement of various agencies/departments the central and state level, more particularly at the state level, required in the proper and effective control and management of stray dogs as per ABC Rules implemented by the AWBI. As the task had to be performed through the municipal authorities and other state government departments at the state level, the state

a.com www.ecourt

www.ecourtsindia.com

governments should be advised to set up and strengthen institutional mechanisms and the AWBI should be part of such mechanism. State governments have already been advised by the Central Government to set up State level Animal Welfare Boards which should be the nodal mechanism to perform this task.

7

- (ii) Ministry of Health and Family Welfare may be requested to identify a scheme/source of funding for the control and management of stray dogs through relevant agency at the state level. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare is funding the NRCP and there is a scope of funding human component of the proposed activity therein. An advisory could be sent by the Ministry to the State Governments in this regard.
- (iii) In rural areas, some agency of Government has to play role to sensitize the panchayats and take care of financial needs in capacity building. Ministry of Rural Development should study this aspect and make suitable recommendations to the State Governments.
- (iv) DADF may advise the Animal Husbandary Departments in the State Governments to train the State Government vets, para vets and dog catchers to make the programme successful.
- (v) An advisory may also be issued from Ministry of Urban Development to all the State Governments to include the animal birth control in AMRUT or Smart City Programme, as appropriate. A similar request could go from the Ministry of Drinking Water and Sanitation to the States for inclusion in Swachh Bharat Programme.
- (vi) In order to strengthen the Board's position and make them monitor the programme, may decide, with approval government, shifting its HQ from Chennai to Delhi in order to ensure full and effective participation of all government non-government members in the functioning of the Board and monitoring of the Central Programmes. The Regional Office of AWBI at may continue to be maintained. Chennai Necessary strengthening in terms of manpower technical/expert help may

examined."

The response filed by the Union of India be served on the learned counsel for the all the States so that they can obtain instructions and, in the meantime, make efforts to comply with the same.

Learned counsel appearing for the intervenors shall be at liberty to file their responses to the module filed by the Union of India.

On the previous occasion, taking note of the state of affairs prevailing in the State of Kerala and the public authorities taking part in beating the stray dogs to death and celebrating, the Chief Secretary of the State was required to file the affidavit. Mr. S.M. Vijayanand, Chief Secretary of the State of Kerala has filed the affidavit. The relevant part of the affidavit reads as follows:-

- "5. It is humbly submitted that the Local Self Government Institutions are directed to undertake public awareness programmes to ensure public participation in the massive vaccination and sterilization programmes which is under way and to deter the people from such unscientific killing of stray dogs by making them conscious of the legal consequences to be faced in such situation.
- 6. It is submitted that 19 crimes are registered in the State in various Police Stations in connection with the killing of stray dogs. Since crimes were registered and stringent legal actions were taken against the offenders new instances of killing of dogs were not reported thereafter.
- 7. It is most respectfully submitted that the State has scrupulously followed all the directions of this Hon'ble Court. The State is very vigilant in this matter. Annexure containing details of the action taken is being filed along with this affidavit."

www.ecourtsindia.com

At this juncture, Mr. Grover and Mr. Gonsalves and other learned counsel has brought to our notice that enormous cruelties are being meted out to stray dogs in the State of Kerala. In fact, there are instances where certain Committees, organizations and clubs have been formed to launch a crusade to kill the stray dogs and it is also averred that the children are being trained how to eliminate the stray dogs. The examples that have been given involve:

9

- Old Students Welfare Association of Pala-based St. Thomas College
- 2. St. Thomas' College Alumni Association.
- 3. youth Front (M)
- 4. Stray Dogs Eradication Group

This Court has already appointed a Committee which is headed by Mr. Justice Sri Jagan, former Judge of the High Court of Kerala. The said Committee, namely, "Justice Sri Jagan Committee" shall enquire into the said aspect. Needless to say, the State will be under obligation to file criminal cases, if the situation so warrants. The report of the enquiry shall be submitted before us through the counsel of the Committee, Mr. Gaurav Agarwal so that proper orders can be passed.

In the meantime, we are also obliged to note the submissions made by Mr. Biju, learned counsel appearing for an intervener and the respondent no.5 appearing in person that stray dogs have attacked the women and children as a result of which the human life is in danger. In the earlier orders, we have already directed the State of Kerala and other authorities that they can go for culling as per the provisions of the relevant Act and Rules.

Mr. V. Giri, learned counsel appearing for the State of Kerala submitted that the State is making immense efforts to curtail the spread of stray dogs and also trying that no stray dog attacks the on the human beings. According to Mr. Giri, the State does not

www.ecourtsindia.com

intend to remain silent. However, we really fail to fathom that when there is a law in place to deal with the stray dogs, how the associations and groups can be formed to train the children to kill the stray dogs or an association which can distribute subsidized airguns for people to kill stray dogs or publically propagate that there must be war against stray dogs. Mr. Giri, learned senior counsel for the State submits that this Court has already directed an enquiry to be conducted in that regard by Justice Sri Jagan Committee and the State shall also book the people involved under the relevant criminal law. Mr. Giri is of the indubitable opinion that the citizens cannot form such associations and take the law unto their own hands.

10

In view of the aforesaid submission of the learned counsel for the State, we restrain such organizations to impart training to the children or to distribute subsidized airguns for people to kill stray dogs or to publically propagate that there is war against the stray dogs or strangulate the stray dogs or for that matter offer prizes or incentives to those who kill the stray dogs. Needless to say, our directions are not exhaustive but illustrative.

Another aspect brought to our notice by Mr. Biju deserves to be noted. On 5.4.2016, this Court has granted a sum of Rs.40,000/- (Rupees forty thousand only) to the husband of the deceased. It is submitted by Mr. Biju that the State Government has granted Rs. 2,00,000/- (Rupees two lac only) to other people who have breathed their last in the dog bite. This aspect can be brought to the notice of Justice Sri Jagan Committee which can give its report to this Court.

Mr. Krishnan Venugopal, learned senior counsel has filed a note for having immediate implementation of Animal Birth Control Rules. A copy of the note submitted by him be handed over to Ms. Pinky Anand, learned Additional Solicitor General appearing for the Union of India and the learned counsel appearing for various States and other parties. The same shall be considered on the next date

ı

www.ecourtsindia.co

of hearing.

In the course of hearing, we have been apprised that despite the orders passed by this Court, Jose Maveli has been constantly violating the orders. There are newspaper items to show that he has publicized the stray dog killing, though Mr. Biju, learned counsel appearing for Jose Maveli submits that he has not done any such acts. Be that as it may, he shall remain personally present in Court on the next date of hearing.

11

Registry is directed to register the applications filed for intervention.

List the matter on 1.03.2017.

(Gulshan Arora) Court Master (H.P.Parashar)
Court Master