

ITEM NO.1703

COURT NO.7

SECTION IV-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

PETITION(S) FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (C) NO(S). 17088/2024

HARGOBIND SINGH & ORS.

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

(I.A. No.171609/2024 (Application for dispensing with the service of porforma Respondent Nos.6 to 43) IN SLP(C) NO. 17088 OF 2024

WITH

SLP(C) No.21776/2024 (IV-B)

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.194157/2024-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN
REFILING / CURING THE DEFECTS)

SLP(C) No. 18813/2024 (IV-B)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.182235/2024-EXEMPTION FROM FILING
C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

SLP(C) No. 21777/2024 (IV-B)

Date : 15-10-2024 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASANNA B. VARALE
[IN CHAMBER]

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Rajat Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Prabhoo Dayal Tiwari, Adv.
Mr. Radhey Sham, Adv.
Mr. Surya Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Naresh Kaushal, Adv.
Mr. Kunal Malik, AOR

Mr. Narender Kumar Verma, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mrs. Priya Puri, AOR

Mr. Siddharth Batra, AOR
Ms. Shivani Chawla, Adv.
Mr. Chinmay Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Rhythm Katyal, Adv.

Ms. Preetika Dwivedi, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

I.A. NO.171609/2024 IN PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (C)
NO.17088/2024

Heard Mr. Prabhu Dayal Tiwari, learned counsel for the petitioner(s)/applicant(s).

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner(s)/applicant(s) that the respondent Nos.6 to 43 (though it is a typographical error in the paragraph No.2 as respondent Nos.6 to 44, whereas in prayer Clause-‘a’, the prayer makes a reference for dispensing with the service of proforma respondent Nos. 6 to 43) who were the co-petitioners in writ petition before the High Court.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s)/applicant(s) further submits that this being the fact situation no relief was claimed against these respondent Nos.6 to 43. As such, the petitioner(s)/applicant(s) be permitted to dispense with service of respondent Nos.6 to 43 at the wish and risk of the petitioner(s)/applicant(s).

4. In view of the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner(s)/applicant(s), prayer for dispensing with service of proforma respondent Nos.6 to 43 is allowed at the risk of the petitioner(s)/applicant(s).

5. Accordingly, the interlocutory application (I.A. No.171609/2024) stands allowed.

(JAGDISH KUMAR)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(POOJA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)