ITEM NO.29

Court 6 (Video Conferencing)

**SECTION II-C** 

## SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).6814/2020

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 25-08-2020 in CRLOP No. 1443/2020 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Madras)

**SARANYA** 

Petitioner(s)

**VERSUS** 

**BHARATHI & ANR.** 

Respondent(s)

(WITH I.R. and IA No.136271/2020-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.136272/2020-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date: 29-01-2021 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDIRA BANERJEE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. G.S. Mani, Adv. Mr. R. Sathish, AOR

For Respondent(s)

## UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R

Mr G S Mani, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, submits that there was a specific allegation that an amount of Rs 4,00,000 was given in instalments not only to the first accused, but also to the second respondent (second accused – Bharathi) as a consideration for the employment of the deceased spouse of the petitioner in the secretariat of the government. Reliance has been placed on the articles of charge (Annexure P-14) and, hence, it has been submitted that the High Court was not justified in passing an order for quashing the charges.

- 2 Issue notice, returnable in four weeks.
- 3 Liberty to serve the Standing Counsel for the State of Tamil Nadu, in addition.

2

(SANJAY KUMAR-I) AR-CUM-PS (SAROJ KUMARI GAUR) COURT MASTER