

SECTION XVII

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL No. 5942 OF 2014

with

CIVIL APPEAL No. 6910 OF 2015

POWER GRID CORPORATION OF INDIA LTD. & Etc. ... Appellants
Versus

CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY
COMMISSION & ORS. & Etc. ... Respondents

OFFICE REPORT

The matters above mentioned were listed before Ld. Registrar's Court on 27.04.2016 when the Court was pleased to pass the following order:

C.A. No. 5942/2014

Four weeks time, as last chance is given to the respondent No.4 to file the counter affidavit.

Fresh steps for the service of notice by usual mode to the respondent Nos. 1,13,14 and 16 shall be taken by the learned counsel for the appellant within a period of four weeks.

Dasti in addition is permitted to be served.

C.A. No. 6910/2015

Fresh steps for the service of notice by usual mode to the respondent Nos. 2,3,6,8 to 16 shall be taken by the learned counsel for the appellant within a period of four weeks.

Dasti in addition is permitted to be served.

Mr. B. Balaji, Ld. Counsel shall clarify that on whose behalf he has filed the vakalatnama as executant of vakalatnama is not a party in this matter.

List again on 19.7.2016.

CIVIL APPEAL No. 5942 OF 2014

It is submitted that Counsel of respondent no. 4 has not filed counter affidavit. Fresh notice was issued to respondent nos. 1,13,14 and 16 through registered A.D. along with Dasti. Duly signed A.D. cards in respect of unserved respondent nos. 1, 13, 14 and 16 are awaited in the matter. **Service is incomplete** only in respect of these respondents.

It is further submitted that Counsel for the appellant has not filed affidavit of dasti service in respect of respondent nos. 1,13,14 and 16 in the matter so far.

Civil Appeal No.6910 of 2015

It is submitted that Fresh notice was issued to respondent nos. 2,3,6,8 to 16 through registered A.D. along with Dasti. Duly signed A.D. cards in respect of these unserved respondent are awaited in the matter. **Service is incomplete** only in respect of these respondents. Counsel for the appellant has not filed affidavit of dasti service in respect of these unserved respondent in the matter so far.

It is further submitted that Mr. B. Balaji, Advocate has not clarified that on whose behalf he has filed the vakalatnama as executant of vakalatnama is not a party in this matter.

The matters above-mentioned are listed before the Ld. Registrar Court with this office report.

DATED THIS THE 18th DAY OF JULY, 2016.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to : Mr. Pramod Dayal, Advocate
Mr. B. Balaji, Advocate
Mr. M.T. George, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR