

O U T T O D A Y

ITEM NO.113

COURT NO.1

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) Nos.23091-23092/2017

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 01-09-2017 in WP No. 9508/2017 01-09-2017 in PIL No.24110/2017 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay)

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

AJAY MARATHE & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment and permission to file lengthy list of dates)

Date : 04-09-2017 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR  
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Tushar Mehta, ASG  
Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv.  
Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, AOR  
Ms. Swarupama Chaturvedi, Adv.  
Mr. Kanu Agrawal, Adv.  
Mr. Saurabh Shamshery, Adv.  
Ms. Kasturi Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Gaurav Agarwal, Adv.  
Dr. Ramesh B. Deshpande, Adv.  
Mr. Ambhay Anil Anturkar, Adv.  
Mr. Abhikalp Pratap Singh, Adv.  
for Abhay Anturkar and Associates

Mr. C.U. Singh, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sahil Monga, Adv.  
Mr. Anupam Prakash, Adv.  
Mrs. Manik Karanjawala, Adv.

Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Issue notice.

As Mr. Gaurav Agarwal, learned counsel has entered appearance on behalf of Mr. Ajay Marathe, the respondent No.1, no further notice need be issued to him. Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar, learned counsel has entered appearance on behalf of the State of Maharashtra.

Mr. C.U. Singh, learned senior counsel being assisted by Mr. Sahil Monga, learned counsel has entered appearance on behalf of the intervenor who was heard in the High Court. Hence, no further notice need be issued. As far as the other respondents are concerned, issue notice fixing a returnable date within two weeks. Dasti, in addition, is permitted.

As an interim measure, it is directed that there shall be stay of the operation of the order dated 1<sup>st</sup> September, 2017, passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay in Public Interest Litigation (Stamp) No.24110 of 2017 and Writ Petition No.9508 of 2017. As we have stayed the operation of the impugned order, we are absolutely certain that the High Court shall not pass any further interim order in this regard.

Let the matter be listed on 22<sup>nd</sup> September, 2017.

(Chetan Kumar)  
Court Master

(Shakti Prakash Sharma)  
Assistant Registrar