```
æ@Item No.25 1
             REGISTRAR COURT. 2
                                              SECTION III
                  SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
                          RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
                        BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. M V RAMESH
  Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 13390/2013
  ASST.COMMR.(CT-II) & ORS.
                                                  Petitioner(s)
                                    VERSUS
  BHARATI AIRTEL LTD. & ORS.
                                                  Respondent(s)
  (with office report)
  WITH
  SLP(C) No. 33108/2013
  SLP(C) No. 39487/2013
  Office Report)
   SLP(C) No. 39492/2013
  Office Report)
   SLP(C) No. 39494/2013
  Office Report)
    SLP(C) No. 39497/2013
  Office Report)
    SLP(C) No. 2481/2014
  Office Report)
    SLP(C) No. 2939/2014
  Office Report)
    SLP(C) No. 2940/2014
  Office Report)
   SLP(C) No. 2943/2014
  Office Report)
    SLP(C) No. 2944/2014
  Office Report)
    SLP(C) No. 2945/2014
  Office Report)
  Item No.25 2
    SLP(C) No. 2949/2014
  Office Report)
    SLP(C) No. 2950/2014
  Office Report)
www.ecourtsindia.com
    SLP(C) No. 25462/2015
  Office Report)
    SLP(C) No. 25468/2015
  Office Report)
    SLP(C) No. 25461/2015
  Office Report)
    SLP(C) No. 26814/2015
  Interim Relief)
    SLP(C) No. 6163/2016
  (With appln.(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned
  judgment and Interim Relief)
    C.A. No. 8774/2012
  Office Report)
    SLP(C) No. 16557-16562/2012
 Office Report)
    SLP(C) No. 23093/2012
 Office Report)
    SLP(C) No. 23094/2012
  Office Report)
    SLP(C) No. 23095/2012
  Office Report)
    SLP(C) No. 23096/2012
  Office Report)
    SLP(C) No. 23097/2012
  Office Report)
    SLP(C) No. 30984/2012
  Office Report)
ecourtsindia.com
    SLP(C) No. 30985/2012
 Office Report)
    SLP(C) No. 33099/2012
```

Office Report)

Mr. Pravin Kumar, Adv.

Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv. Mr. Manu Nair,Adv. Mr. S. S. Shroff, Adv. Item No.25 5 Ms. Neha Agarwal, Adv. Mr. E. C. Agrawala, Adv. Mr. R. Chandrachud, Adv. Mr. Neeraj Kumar, Adv. Mr. Mohit D. Ram, Adv. Mr. Rajiv Tyagi,Adv. Mr. Sibisankar Mishra, Adv. Mr.R. Chandrachud, Adv. Mr. Hitendra Nath Rath, Adv. UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following ORDER SLP(C) Nos. 16557-16562,23093,23094,23095,23096, 23097/2012 11718,39483,39484,39485/2013,2942,2946/2014, 24548 25469 and 25461/2015 Being complete matters, place all the matters before Hon'ble Court. SLP(C) No. 30984,30985 and 40117/2012, 5879,5880,10543,10880, 39490, 39495,39494,13390,33108, 39492,39498,39499/2013, 2481 and 2944,2479,2941,2947-2948/2014 and SLP(C) No. 6163/2016 Appearing respondents have failed to file the counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules. S ervice of notice is complete on the other respondents but no one has entered appearance on their behalf. Viewed, in that context, the matters shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court, under the rules. SLP(C) Nos. 33099,33102/2012 and 5244/2013 Respondent No.1 has already filed the counter affidavit. O ther respondents have failed to file the counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules. Viewed thus, the matters shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules. Item No.25 6
SLP(C) No. 39486/2013
The Ld. Counsel for the respondent No.1 has failed to file the counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the respondent No.2 (Union of India) is common party in SLP(39492, 39494 and 39497/2013 and is represented by Mr. B.K. Prasad, Ld. Counsel. Hence service is deemed as complete. Viewed, in that context, the matter shall be processed for listing before counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules. Respondent No.2 (Union of India) is common party in SLP(C) Nos. context, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Service of notice is complete on the respondent No.2 but no one has entered appearance on his behalf. Respondent No.3 (Union of India) is common party in SLP(C) Nos. 39492, 39494 and 39497/2013 and represented by Mr. B.K. Prasad, Ld. Counsel. Hence service is deemed as complete. Viewed, in that context, the matters shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court, under the rules SLP(C) No. 39487/2013

The Ld. Counsel for the respondent No.1 has failed to counter affidavit within the Respondent No.1 has failed to counter affidavit within the Hon'ble Court, under the rules. counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules. Respondent No.3 (Union of India) is common party in SLP(C) Nos. 39492, 39494 and 39497/2013 and is represented by Mrs. B.K. Prasad, Ld. Counsel. Hence service deemed as complete.

Await the return of the service of notice already issued to the respondent No .2. SLP(C) No. 25462/2015

The Ld. Counsel for the respondent No.1 has failed to file the counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules.

Fresh steps for the service of notice by usual mode to respondent No.2 shall be taken by the learned counsel for

This is a True Copy of the court records online. Authenticated @ https://eCourtsIndia.com/cnr/SCIN010272932015 SLP(C) No. 25462/2015 taken by the learned counsel for the

petitioners within a period of four weeks, as last chance.

Item No.25 7

Ld. Counsel for the petitioners shall also within the same period file the I.A. for amendment of Cause Title as name of Respondent No.1 has been changed.

 ${\rm SLP}({\rm C})$  No. 25468 and 26814/2015,  $\qquad {\rm SLP}({\rm C})$  No. 2939,2949,2940, 2943 and 2945/2014

Served respondents have failed to file the counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules.

Fresh steps for the service of notice by usual mode to the unserved respondents shall be taken by the learned counsel for the petitioners within a period of four weeks, as last chance.

 ${
m SLP(C)}$  No. 39497/2013 and  ${
m SLP(C)}$  No. 2950/2014 The served respondents have failed to file the counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules. Await the return of the service of notice already issued

Await the return of the service of notice already issued to the unserved respondents.

C.A. No. 8774/2012

Ld. Counsel for the appellants shall within a period of four weeks file deficit Court fee.
List again on 26.9. 2016.

(M V RAMESH) Registrar