## S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

## BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.A. SAYEED

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).19613-19621/2012

M.PALANISAMY & ETC.

Petitioner(s)

**VERSUS** 

STATE OF TAMIL NADU & ORS. & ETC. Respondent(s) (With appln(s) for and prayer for interim relief and office report)
WITH SLP(C) NO. 15237 of 2013
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)
SLP(C) NO. 15239 of 2013
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 15240 of 2013

(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 15241 of 2013
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 15242 of 2013

(With office report) SLP(C) NO. 15243 of 2013 (With office report)

 $\mathtt{SLP}(\mathtt{C})$  NO. 20027-20030 of 2012

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 25460-25461 of 2012

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 26073 of 2012

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 26121-26122 of 2012

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 04/09/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. K.K. Mani, Adv.

Mr. G. Sivabalamurugan, Adv.

Mr. Anis Mohammad, Adv.

Mr. Stalin Selvamani, Adv.

Mr. L.K. Pandey, Adv.

Mr. K.V. Vijayakumar, Adv.

Mr. S. Gowthaman, Adv.

Item No.50

www.ecourtsindia.com

-2-

For Respondent(s)

Mr. S. Anand, Adv.

Mr. B.Balaji, Adv.

Mr. Ravindra Keshavrao Adsure ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following O R D E R  $\,$ 

SLP(C) No.19613/2012

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No.1 has already come on record.

Respondent No.3 is reported to be duly and properly served but none appeared on his behalf.

Notice be reissued to respondent Nos.2, 4 and 5 on filing of the

process fee and spare copies and complete address of the District Court within two weeks through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

SLP(C) No.19614/2012

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No.1 has already come on record.

Notice be reissued to respondent Nos.2 to 6 on filing of the process fee and spare copies and complete address of the District Court within two weeks through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service. SLP(C) No.19615/2012

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No.1 has already come on record.

Item No.50 -3-

Notice be reissued to respondent Nos.2 to 5 on filing of the process fee and spare copies and complete address of the District Court within two weeks through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service. SLP(C) No.19616/2012

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No.1 has already come on record.

Respondent No.3 is reported to be duly and properly served but none appeared on his behalf.

Notice be reissued to respondent No.2 on filing of the process fee and spare copies and complete address of the District Court within two weeks through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service. SLP(C) No.19617/2012

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No.1 has already come on record.

Notice be reissued to respondent Nos.2 and 3 on filing of the process fee and spare copies and complete address of the District Court within two weeks through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service. SLP(C) No.19618/2012

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No.1 has already come on record.

Item No.50 -4-

Notice be reissued to respondent Nos.2 and 3 on filing of the process fee and spare copies and complete address of the District Court within two weeks through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service. SLP(C) No.19619/2012

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No.1 has already come on record.

Respondent No.5 is reported to be duly and properly served but none appeared on his behalf.

Notice be reissued to respondent Nos.2 to 4 on filing of the process fee and spare copies and complete address of the District Court within two weeks through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service. SLP(C) No.19620/2012

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No.1 has already come on record.

Respondent Nos.5 and 6 are reported to be duly and properly served but none appeared on their behalf.

Notice be reissued to respondent Nos.2 to 4 on filing of the process fee and spare copies and complete address of the District Court within two weeks through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

Item No.50 -5-

SLP(C) No.19621/2012

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No.1 has already come on record.

Respondent Nos.4 and 6 are reported to be duly and properly served but none appeared on their behalf.

Notice be reissued to respondent Nos.2, 3, 5, 7 and 8 on filing of the process fee and spare copies and complete address of the District Court within two weeks through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

SLP(C) No.20027/2012

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No.1 has already come on record.

Notice be reissued to respondent Nos.2 and 3 on filing of the process fee and spare copies and complete address of the District Court within two weeks through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service. SLP(C) No.20028/2012

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No.1 has already come on record.

Respondent Nos.2 and 4 are reported to be duly and properly served but none appeared on their behalf.

Notice be reissued to respondent Nos.3, 5 and 6 on filing of the process fee and spare copies and complete

Item No.50

-6-

address of the District Court within two weeks through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service. SLP(C) No.20029/2012

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No.1 has already come on record

Respondent Nos.2 and 3 are reported to be duly and properly served but none appeared on their behalf.

Further necessary orders to be passed as and when the other connected matters would become ready.

SLP(C) No.20030/2012

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No.1 has already come on record.

Respondent No.2 is reported to be duly and properly served but none appeared on his behalf.

Notice be reissued to respondent No.3 on filing of the process fee and spare copies and complete address of the District Court within two weeks through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.  $\rm SLP(C)\ No.25460/2012$ 

Respondent No.1 is reported to be duly and properly served but none appeared on his behalf.

Notice be reissued to respondent Nos.2 and 3 on filing of the process fee and spare copies and complete

Item No.50

-7-

address of the District Court within two weeks through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service. SLP(C) No.25461/2012

Respondent No.2 is reported to be duly and properly served but none appeared on his behalf.

Respondent No.1 being State be served through standing counsel. Dasti allowed.

Notice be reissued to respondent Nos.3 on filing of the process fee and spare copies and complete address of the District Court within two weeks through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service. SLP(C) No.26073/2012

Notice be reissued to all the six respondents on furnishing the complete address of the District Court within two weeks. SLP(C) No.26121/2012

Respondent Nos.1 and 2 are reported to be duly and properly served but none appeared on their behalf.

Notice be reissued to respondent No.3 on filing of the process fee and spare copies and complete address of the District Court within two weeks through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

Item No.50

-8-

SLP(C) No.26122/2012

Respondent Nos.1 and 3 are reported to be duly and properly served but none appeared on their behalf.

Notice be reissued to respondent No.2 on filing of the process fee and spare copies and complete address of the District Court within two

rd

weeks through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service. SLP(C) No.15237/2013

Respondent Nos.3, 5 and 6 are reported to be duly and properly served but none appeared on their behalf.

Notice be reissued to respondent Nos.1, 2 and 4 on filing of the process fee and spare copies and complete address of the District Court within two weeks through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

SLP(C) No.15239/2013

All the three respondents are reported to be duly and properly served but none appeared on their behalf.

Further necessary orders to be passed as and when the other connected matters would become ready.

SLP(C) No.15240/2013

Respondent Nos.3, 4 and 6 are reported to be duly and properly served but none appeared on their behalf.

Notice be reissued to respondent Nos.1, 2 and 5 on

Item No.50

-9-

filing of the process fee and spare copies and complete address of the District Court within two weeks through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

SLP(C) No.15243/2013

Respondent Nos.1 and 4 are reported to be duly and properly served but none appeared on their behalf.

Notice be reissued to respondent Nos.2 and 3 on filing of the process fee and spare copies and complete address of the District Court within two weeks through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service. SLP(C) No.15242/2013

Respondent Nos.2 to 4 are reported to be duly and properly served but none appeared on their behalf.

Respondent No.1 being State be served through standing counsel. Dasti allowed.

SLP(C) No.15241/2013

Respondent Nos.3, 4 and 6 are reported to be duly and properly served but none appeared on their behalf.

Respondent No.1 being State be served through standing counsel. Dasti allowed.

Notice be reissued to respondent Nos.2 and 5 on filing of the process fee and spare copies and complete

Item No.50

-10-

address of the District Court within two weeks through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

List again on 24.10.2013.

(M.A. SAYEED)

REGISTRAR