ITEM NO.26 COURT NO.14 SECTION XV SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.22523/2015 (Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 13/07/2015in DBPIL No. 10081/2012 passed by the High Court of Rajasthan at Jodhpur) STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ANR. Petitioner(s) VERSUS SURENDRA JAIN & ORS. Respondent(s) (office report on default) Date : 11/04/2016 This petition was called on for hearing today. CORAM : HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT [IN CHAMBERS] For Petitioner(s) Mr. Harsha Vinay, Adv. Mr. Milind Kumar, Adv. For Respondent(s) Mr. Abhishek Gupta, Adv. UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following ORDER Learned counsel appearing for the State of R ajasthan & Anr. submits that Respondent No.3 namely the Registrar, Rajasthan Information Commission, Jaipur, Rajasthan, has been served. The office report states that no steps have been taken in respect of unserved respondent No.2 i.e. The Rajasthan Information Commission through its Chief Information Commissioner, Jaipur, Rajasthan. Learned counsel submits that respondent No.3 having been served in the matter and respondents 2 and 3 proforma respondents, he may drop respondent No.2 from the array of parties.

The Statement is taken on record and respondent No.2 is deleted from the array of parties at the risk of petitioner.

Cause title be amended accordingly.

(RASHMI DHYANI)

SR.P.A. (SUMAN JAIN)

COURT MASTER