## SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

#### BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MRS. RACHNA GUPTA

Civil Appeal No(s). 5232-5233/2010

CELLULAR OPERATORS ASSN.OF INDIA & ORS.

Appellant(s)

Respondent(s)

#### **VERSUS**

B.S.N.L.& ORS.

(with office report)

WITH

C.A. No. 5886-5887/2010

(With Office Report)

C.A. No. 6369-6370/2010

(With appln.(s) for stay and Office Report)

C.A. No. 6558-6559/2010

(With appln.(s) for stay and Office Report)

Date: 04/08/2015 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

### For Appellant(s)

Mr. Manjul Bajpai, Adv.

Mr. Ranjit Raut, Adv.

Ms. Bina Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Nikunj Dayal, Adv.

Mr. Pramod Dayal, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Kapur, Adv.

#### For Respondent(s)

Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Jain, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Gagan Gupta, Adv.

Ms. Daisy Hannah, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Kapur, Adv.

Ms. Rakhi Ray, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R  $\,$ 

# C.A. No. 5232-5233/2010

Among seven respondents in the first matter herein and

nine respondents in the second matter herein, respondent Nos.1 and 2 (common to both the appeals) are duly been represented.

Respondent Nos.3 to 8 (common) have failed to appear, despite being served.

None of the parties have filed the statement of case.

Since it is no more a mandate after the amendment in the Supreme Court Rules, 2013 and in that circumstance, the List of dates already submitted is presumed to have been accepted.

The appeal stands complete, however, to be listed before the Hon'ble Court alongwith the connected appeals.

## C.A. No. 5886-5887/2010

Among ten respondents in the first matter and 16 respondents in the second matter, common respondent Nos.1 and 2 are duly been represented.

Respondent Nos.3 to 5 (common to both the appeals and 6 to 10 in C.A. No.5886/2010 and 6 to 16 in C.A. No.5887/2010 have duly been served but none has entered appearance.

None of the served parties have filed the statement of case. The said appeals to be listed before the Hon'ble Court, however, alongwith the connected appeals.

## C.A. No. 6369-6370/2010

Among 11 respondents in the first appeal and 17 respondents in the second appeal, respond Nos.1 and 2 (common to both the appeals) have duly been represented.

Service upon respondent Nos.3 to 11 in C.A. No.6369/2010 and respondent Nos.3 to 17 in C.A. No.6370/2010 is complete but none has entered appearance.

None of the parties have filed the statement of case.

Since it is no more a mandate after the amendment in the Supreme Court Rules, 2013 and in that circumstance, the List of dates already submitted is presumed to have been accepted.

The said appeals stand complete, however, to be listed before the Hon'ble Court alongwith the connected appeals.

### C.A. No. 6558-6559/2010

Among 12 respondents in the first matter and 18 respondents in the second matter (respondent Nos.1 to 4 are common). Respondent No.1 is duly represented whereas respondent Nos.2 to 4 have chosen to not to appear, despite being duly served.

Respondent Nos.5 to 12 in C.A. No.6558/2010 and respondent Nos.5 to 18 in C.A. No.6559/2010 have also been duly served but have failed to enter appearance.

Appellant has filed the statement of case but the appearing respondents have not filed the same.

In the given circumstances, all sets of appeals herein stand complete.

Registry to process to list the same before the Hon'ble Court, as per rules.

(RACHNA GUPTA)
Registrar