

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NO(S). 3847 OF 2010

SATYA DEVI

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

RATTAN CHAND & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

We do not find any good ground and reasons to interfere with the impugned order.

First, the appellant has raised this objection relying upon Section 28 of the Specific Relief Act, 1963, which was rejected by the executing court and the order was affirmed by the High Court. This order has attained finality. Secondly, it is accepted that the respondent-decree holder had, in fact, moved an application for deposit of money on 22nd February, 2003. The said application can be treated as an application under Section 154 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908. The impugned order refers to the delay occasioned on account of proceedings and objections raised by the present appellant. The decree of specific performance passed by the appellate court dated 9th November 1983 was upheld by this Court on 21st January 2003.

The appeal is dismissed with no order as to costs.
Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed
of.

..... J.
[SANJIV KHANNA]

..... J.
[BELA M. TRIVEDI]

NEW DELHI;
24TH FEBRUARY, 2022

ITEM NO.103

COURT NO.14

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 3847/2010

SATYA DEVI

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

RATTAN CHAND & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 24-02-2022 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE BELA M. TRIVEDI

For Appellant(s) Mr. Aniket Jain, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Singh, Adv.
Mr. Umang Shankar, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Subhash Sharma, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed
order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed
of.

(SONIA BHASIN)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(DIPTI KHURANA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

[Signed Order is placed on the file]