www.ecourtsindia.com www.ecour

ITEM NO.9 REGISTRAR COURT. 1 THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE SECTION IV-A

## SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SH. RAJESH KUMAR GOEL

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 2074/2021

B. M. NARASIMAIAH DEAD THR. LRS.

Petitioner(s)

**VERSUS** 

GOVINDARAJU SINCE DECEASED BY HIS LRS. & ORS. Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R.)

Date: 22-07-2021 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

M/S. Nuli & Nuli, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Ms Rajani K Prasad, Adv. Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, AOR Ms. N. Annapoorani, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel through Video Conference, the Court made the following

ORDER

As per office report, ld. Advocate-on-record, Mr B.K.Prasad has filed the vakalatnama on behalf of respondent no.8. Ld. counsel appearing on behalf of Mr B.K.Prasad, Advocate-on-record has pointed out that in the cause list, Mr B.K.Prasad, Advocate-on-record has been shown as against respondent no.4 whereas in the SLP he is respondent No.6.

## Item No.9

Office report would further indicate that Ms N Annapoorani, Advocate-on-record has filed vakalatnama on behalf of respondent no.15 where her name is shown as against respondent no.8. I fail to understand that on what basis the office report has been prepared. Registry to clarify the same.

Respondents who have already filed vakalatnama are granted four weeks time for filling counter affidavit.

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner to take fresh steps alongwith fresh particulars to effect service on respondents who have not been served except for respondent no.9 within two weeks time.

Re-issue notice in respect of respondent no.9.

List again on 2.9.2021.

RAJESH KUMAR GOEL Registrar