

ITEM NO.22 Court 3 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION IV-A

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A**  
**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 19824/2016

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 23-03-2016  
 in WA No. 16453/2011 passed by the High Court Of Karnataka At  
 Bengaluru)

RAMAKRISHNAPPA SINCE DEAD BY LRS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF KARNATAKA &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 21251/2021 (IV-A)IA No. 8604/2022 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL  
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)SLP(C) No. 1689/2022 (IV-A)IA No. 16420/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED  
JUDGMENT)SLP(C) No. 22994-22995/2016 (IV-A)

Date : 14-03-2022 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.T. RAVIKUMAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Hemant Kumar Sagar, Adv.  
 Mr. E. C. Vidya Sagar, AOR

Ms. Rukhmini Bobde, Adv.  
 Mr. Vishal Prasad, AOR  
 Ms. Soumya Priyadarshinee, Adv.  
 Mr. Ankit Ambasta, Adv.  
 Mr. Amit Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Sumit Goel, Adv.  
 Mr. Aditya Sharma, Adv.  
 Mr. Manu Bajaj, Adv.  
 M/S. Parekh & Co., AOR

Mr. Rahul Gupta, AOR

Mr. Shubhranshu Padhi, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, AOR  
Mr. Md. Apzal Ansari, Adv.

Mr. Radhakrishna S Hedge, Adv.  
Mr. Rajeev Singh, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

*Prima facie* it appears that the decisions pressed into service on behalf of the petitioner(s) in SLP(C) No.22994-22995 of 2016, namely, (2012) 10 SCC 184 and (2007) 9 SCC 304, will be of no avail to the petitioner(s) as the petitioner(s) (through power of attorney holder) had consented to passing of the awards before the land acquisition officer. Having done so, it is not open to such person to then question the acquisition proceedings on specious argument of being fraudulent.

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 points out that the husband of the petitioner in SLP(C) No.22994-22995 of 2016, who was the owner at the relevant time had consented for the acquisition and also accepted the compensation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for time to point out the reported judgment which has interfered with the acquisition process in similar situation.

**List these matters on 16<sup>th</sup> March, 2022.**

**(NEETU KHAJURIA)  
COURT MASTER**

**(VIDYA NEGI)  
COURT MASTER**