

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.1085 OF 2022  
(Arising from the SLP(C) Nos.1984/2022)  
(Diary No(s).26701/2021)

OM PARKASH & ORS

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF HARYANA & ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Delay in filing special leave petition(s) is condoned on clear understanding that the final relief that will be granted to the appellant(s) would be conditional and no interest would be provided to the concerned appellant(s) for the period of delay of 793 days in filing the special leave petition(s).

Learned counsel for the appellant(s), in all fairness, accepts this condition.

Accordingly, delay is condoned.

Leave granted.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State

points out that the issue(s) involved in this appeal(s) already stands answered in Civil Appeal No. 2903 of 2021 and connected cases titled as "Banwari Lal & Anr. vs. State of Haryana & Ors. and connected cases", decided on 14<sup>th</sup> July, 2021. In that view of the matter, even this appeal stands disposed of on the same terms as applicable to the concerned notification and village where the land in question is situated.

The rest of the relied upon order to apply as it is.

This order is an indulgence shown to the appellant regarding condoning "unexplained long delay" in filing the present proceedings.

Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

....., J.  
(A.M. KHANWILKAR)

....., J.  
(C.T. RAVIKUMAR)

NEW DELHI  
FEBRUARY 07, 2022.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.1110-1115 OF 2022  
(Arising from the SLP(C) Nos.2009-2014/2022)  
(Diary No(s).826/2022)

UMED SINGH ETC.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Delay in filing special leave petition(s) is condoned on clear understanding that the final relief that will be granted to the appellant(s) would be conditional and no interest would be provided to the concerned appellant(s) for the period of delay of 191 days in filing the special leave petition(s) in RFA Nos.7907/2013, 3509/2016, 3510/2016, 476/2016 and 953/2019.

Learned counsel for the appellant(s), in all fairness, accepts this condition.

Accordingly, delay is condoned.

Leave granted.

Learned counsel for the appellant(s) has relied on appeals pertaining to the decision of this Court passed in Civil Appeal No. 2903 of 2021 and connected cases titled as "Banwari Lal & Anr. vs. State of Haryana & Ors. and connected cases", decided on 14<sup>th</sup> July, 2021.

Learned counsel for the respondent/State, in all fairness, submits that the subject lands involved in these appeals would be covered by the enhancement given to the land owners in the aforesaid appeal(s).

Accordingly, the present appeal(s) are disposed of on the same terms, except that appellant(s) will not be entitled for the interest on the enhanced amount and solatium and other components for the period of delay, referred to above in pursuing the appeal(s) before this Court.

The rest of the relied upon order to apply as it is.

This order is an indulgence shown to the appellant(s) regarding condoning "unexplained long

delay" in filing the present proceedings.

Pending applications, if any, stand disposed  
of.

....., J.  
(A.M. KHANWILKAR)

....., J.  
(C.T. RAVIKUMAR)

NEW DELHI  
FEBRUARY 07, 2022.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.1086-1109 OF 2022  
(Arising from the SLP(C) Nos.1985-2008/2022)  
(Diary No(s).24147/2020)

THE LAND ACQUISITION  
COLLECTOR & ANR. ETC. ETC.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

RAM PAL & ORS. ETC. ETC.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Leave granted.

Learned counsel for the appellant-State points out that the issue(s) involved in these appeal(s) already stands answered in Civil Appeal No. 2903 of 2021 and connected cases titled as "Banwari Lal & Anr. vs. State of Haryana & Ors. and connected cases", decided on 14<sup>th</sup> July, 2021. In that view of the matter, even these appeal(s) stand disposed of on the same terms as applicable to the concerned notification and village where the land in question is situated.

Pending applications, if any, stand disposed  
of.

....., J.  
(A.M. KHANWILKAR)

....., J.  
(C.T. RAVIKUMAR)

NEW DELHI  
FEBRUARY 07, 2022.

ITEM NO.39      Court 3 (Video Conferencing)      SECTION IV-B

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A**  
**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

**SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 26701/2021**

**(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 31-05-2019 in RFA No. 2568/2016 passed by the High Court Of Punjab & Haryana At Chandigarh)**

**OM PARKASH & ORS.**

**Petitioner(s)**

**VERSUS**

**THE STATE OF HARYANA & ANR.**

**Respondent(s)**

**( IA No.1103/2022-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING and IA No.1104/2022-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT )**

**WITH**

**Diary No(s). 826/2022 (IV-B)**

**( IA No.12040/2022-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING)**

**Diary No(s). 24147/2020 (IV-B)**

**(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.3454/2021-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING and IA No.3455/2021-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)**

**Date : 07-02-2022 These petitions were called on for hearing today.**

**CORAM :**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.T. RAVIKUMAR**

**For Parties**

**Mr. Nishit Shah, Adv.**

**Dr. Nishesh Sharma, AOR**

**Dr. Monika Gusain, AOR**

**Mr. Tripurari Ray, Adv.**

**Mr. Balwant Singh Billowria, Adv.**

**Mr. Chander Kaushik, Adv.**

**Mr. Anirudh Ray, Adv.**

**Mr. Vivekanand Singh, Adv.**

**Mr. Nishant Kumar, Adv.**

**Mr. Akhileshwar Jha, Adv.**

**Mr. Hira Singh Rawat, Adv.**

**Ms. Shilpa Singh, AOR**



**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R**

**Delay condoned.**

**Leave granted.**

**The appeal(s) are disposed of in terms of the  
signed order.**

**Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.**

**(NEETU KHAJURIA)  
COURT MASTER**

**(VIDYA NEGI)  
COURT MASTER**

**(Three signed orders are placed on the file.)**